arXiv:1403.4860v1 [math.GT] 19 Mar 2014

Congruence Veech Groups

Myriam Finster

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
e-mail: myriam.finster@gmail.com

March 20, 2014

Abstract

We define congruence subgroups in Veech groups of primitive translation surfaces by their action on the homology with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. We introduce a property of a primitive translation surface and a congruence level that guarantees that partition stabilising congruence subgroups of this level are the Veech group of a translation covering.

All primitive surfaces with exactly one singular point have this property in every level. We prove that the surface glued from a regular $2n$-gon with odd n has the property in level a iff a and n are coprime. For the primitive translation surface glued from two regular n-gons, where n is an odd number, we introduce a generalised Wohlfahrt level of subgroups in its Veech group. We determine the relationship between this Wohlfahrt level and the congruence level of a congruence group.

1 Introduction

A translation surface is obtained by taking finitely many plane polygons and gluing their edges by translations in a way that leads to a connected, oriented surface without boundary. The derivatives of self-maps that are locally affine form the so-called Veech group. In 1989 Veech connects the properties of the geodesic flow on a translation surface to its Veech group (see [Vee89]).

An alternative way to define a translation surface is via a compact Riemann surface and a holomorphic one-form. This definition emphasises the connection between translation surfaces and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. See e.g. [Zor06] for an introduction to flat surfaces. The zero set of the holomorphic one-form corresponds to the non-removable singular points in the translation structure of the surface. The moduli space of holomorphic one-forms (i.e. of translation surfaces) decomposes into strata $\mathcal{H}(d_1, \ldots, d_\nu)$, where the $d_i + 1$ are the multiplicities of the zeros of the holomorphic one-form.

Gutkin and Judge prove in [GJ00] that if a translation surface $\bar{Y}$ covers a translation surface $\bar{X}$ via a map that is locally a translation, then their Veech groups $\Gamma(Y)$ and $\Gamma(X)$ are commensurate, i.e. $\Gamma(X) \cap \Gamma(Y)$ has finite index in $\Gamma(X)$ and in $\Gamma(Y)$. It is a consequence of [Möl06] that if the translation surface $\bar{X}$ is primitive then $\Gamma(Y)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma(X)$. We address the

question, which subgroups of the Veech group of a primitive translation surface $\bar{X}$ can be realised as Veech group of a covering surface $\bar{Y}$.

In Chapter 6 in [Sch05], Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen proves that many congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ can be realised as Veech groups of Origamis, i.e. as Veech groups of translation coverings of the once-punctured torus. Let $\bar{X}$ be another primitive translation surface. We extend the definition of congruence groups to subgroups in the Veech group $\Gamma(X)$. A subgroup is called congruence group of level a if it contains each Veech group element that acts trivially on the homology with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. We generalise the results of Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen to translation coverings of other primitive surfaces $\bar{X}$ and to our definition of congruence subgroups of their Veech groups $\Gamma(X)$.

In Section 3 we define a property called property $(\star)$. If $\bar{X}$ is a primitive translation surface with property $(\star)$ in level a, then we prove the following: a congruence group of level a in $\Gamma(X)$ that stabilises its orbit space in $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ can be realised as Veech group of a covering surface of $\bar{X}$. Every primitive translation surface whose pure Veech group equals its Veech group has property $(\star)$ in every level. This applies in particular to many of the surfaces introduces in Section 4. The surface glued from two regular n-gons, $\bar{X}_n$, for odd $n \geq 5$ and the surface glued from a regular $2n$-gon, $\bar{X}_{2n}$, for even $n \geq 4$ have exactly one singular point. In Section 4.3 we show that $\bar{X}_{2n}$, where $n \geq 5$ is odd, has property $(\star)$ in level a iff $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

In Section 5 we define a generalised Wohlfahrt level for finite index subgroups in the Veech group of $\bar{X}_n$ for odd $n \geq 5$. The Wohlfahrt level of a finite index subgroup in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the least common multiple of its cusp widths. Thus it measures the size of the maximal parabolic subgroups. For congruence subgroups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ the Wohlfahrt level and the congruence level coincide. We prove that the generalised Wohlfahrt level of a congruence subgroup in $\Gamma(X_n)$ divides its congruence levels and that its minimal congruence level(s) have only prime divisors that also divide the Wohlfahrt level. We also give an example of a congruence group whose Wohlfahrt level is not a minimal congruence level of the group. Hence, there is not much space for improvement of this result. Finally we give a sufficient condition for a subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ to be not a congruence group and a concrete example of a non-congruence group in $\Gamma(X_5)$.

Most of the results stem from the author's PhD thesis [Fin13].

Acknowledgements I would like to thank the adviser of my thesis, Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen for many helpful discussions, inspiring suggestions and a lot of proofreading.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

In this section we shortly review the basic definitions used to state and prove our theorems. For a more detailed introduction to Veech groups see e.g. [HS01] or [Vor96].

A *translation surface* $\bar{X}$ is a connected, compact, 2-dimensional, real manifold with a finite, nonempty set $\Sigma(\bar{X})$ of *singular points* or *singularities* together with a maximal 2-dimensional atlas ω on $X = \bar{X} \setminus \Sigma(\bar{X})$ such that all transition maps between the charts are translations. Furthermore, every singular point s

has an open neighbourhood U, not containing other singular points, such that there exists a continuous map $f_s\colon \hat{U} \to \hat{V}$ from $\hat{U} \coloneqq U \setminus \{s\}$ to a punctured open set $\hat{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ that is compatible with ω, i.e. $f_s \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a translation for every $(U', \varphi) \in \omega$ with $U' \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

An alternative way to define a translation surface is by gluing finitely many Euclidean polygons via identification of edge pairs by translations. A translation structure on a torus for example can be obtained by gluing the parallel edges of a parallelogram. In this special case, no non-removable singularity arises from the vertices of the polygon and one has to add a removable singularity to meet the condition $\Sigma(\bar{X}) \neq \emptyset$. Especially in the situation where we glue the translation surface from a polygon the translation structure on X is obvious, so we usually omit ω in the notation.

Let $\bar{Y}$ be a second translation surfaces. We call a continuous map $p\colon \bar{Y} \to \bar{X}$ a *translation covering* if $p^{-1}(\Sigma(\bar{X})) = \Sigma(\bar{Y})$ and $p|_Y\colon Y \to X$ is locally a translation. Furthermore, we call $\bar{X}$ the *base surface* and $\bar{Y}$ the *covering surface* of p. As translation surfaces are compact, a translation covering is a finite covering map in the topological sense, ramified at most over the singularities $\Sigma(\bar{X})$. A translation surface $\bar{X}$ that does not admit a translation covering $\bar{X} \to \bar{Y}$ of degree $d > 1$ is called *primitive*.

An *affine map* of a translation surface $\bar{X}$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism f on $\bar{X}$ with $f(\Sigma(\bar{X})) = \Sigma(\bar{X})$ that is affine on X, i.e. that can be written as $z \mapsto Az + b$ with $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in local coordinates. The translation vector b depends on the local coordinates, whereas the *derivative* A is globally defined: the transition map between two charts is a translation and therefore does not change A.

The *affine group* $\mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$ of $\bar{X}$ is the group of all affine maps on $\bar{X}$. The derivatives of the affine maps on $\bar{X}$ form the *Veech group* $\Gamma(X) \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of $\bar{X}$. The *projective Veech group* of $\bar{X}$ is the image of $\Gamma(X)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The affine maps with trivial derivative form the group of translations $\mathrm{Trans}(\bar{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$.

As the set of singular points of a translation surface $\bar{X}$ is by definition nonempty, the fundamental group of X is free of rank $n = 2g + (\nu - 1)$ where g is the genus of the surface and ν is the number of singular points. We fix an isomorphism $\pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n$. Note that we define the concatenation of elements in the fundamental group "from left to right", which means that the left-most path is the first traversed path in a composite path.

The affine group of $\bar{X}$ defines an outer action on $\pi_1(X)$. We define $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ as the group of all automorphisms whose equivalence class in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n) \cong \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(X))$ lies in the image of $\iota\colon \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(X))$. Recall that the set of singular points $\Sigma(\bar{X})$ is by definition nonempty. By Lemma 5.2 in [EG97] the affine group injects into the mapping class group $\mathrm{MCG}(\bar{X})$ of $\bar{X}$ (which is the group of homeomorphisms on the surface $\bar{X}$ up to homotopy). It is a consequence of this lemma and the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer theorem (see e.g. Theorem 8.1 in [FM12]) that the map ι is injective. Thus it induces a well-defined map

$$\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Out}_X(F_n) \xrightarrow{\iota^{-1}} \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{der}} \Gamma(X)$$

that sends every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ to the derivative of the corresponding affine map. The map is called

$$\vartheta\colon \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \to \Gamma(X)\,.$$

For an affine map f we call every preimage of $\iota(f)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ a *lift* of f to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$.

In [Möl06], a translation surface is called primitive if it is not the covering of a translation surface of smaller genus. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus of the covering surface of a translation covering of degree > 1 is always greater than the genus of the base surface, whenever the genus of the base surface is > 1. Thus the two definitions are equivalent for all surfaces of genus > 1. With our definition, there are non-primitive translation surfaces of genus 1, whereas this is not the case in the alternative definition.

The following proposition states an important connection between the Veech group of the primitive base surface and the Veech group of the covering surface in a translation covering with primitive base surface. It was proven for base surfaces of genus > 1 in [Möl06] Theorem 2.6 and for genus 1 in [Sch05] Proposition 3.3.

Proposition 2.1 (see [Möl06], [Sch05])**.** *Let $p\colon \bar{Y} \to \bar{X}$ be a translation covering with a primitive base surface. Every affine map on $\bar{Y}$ descends to $\bar{X}$.*

The relation between the two Veech groups can be specified even more precisely as follows:

Proposition 2.2 (see Korollar 6.22 in [Fre08])**.** *The Veech group element $A \in \Gamma(X)$ is contained in $\Gamma(Y)$ if and only if there exists a lift γ of A to $\mathrm{Aut}(\pi_1(X))$ such that γ stabilises $\pi_1(Y) \leq \pi_1(X)$, i.e.*

$$\Gamma(Y) = \vartheta(\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}(\pi_1(X))}(\pi_1(Y)))\,.$$

The proposition is a generalisation of Theorem 1 in [Sch05], using [Sch08].

As stated above, every affine map f on $\bar{Y}$ defines an automorphism $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\pi_1(Y))$, well-defined up to an inner automorphism of $\pi_1(Y) \leq \pi_1(X) \cong F_n$. Proposition 2.1 tells us that f descends to X and thus also defines a $\gamma' \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$. We define $\mathrm{Aut}_Y(F_n) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ as the set of those automorphisms.

3 Translation coverings with congruence Veech groups

In the following, $\bar{X}$ is a primitive translation surface with $\nu \geq 1$ singularities and genus $g \geq 1$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ of the compact surface $\bar{X}$ is generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g$ where the a_i, b_i belong to the i-th handle and fulfil the relation $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \cdots a_g b_g a_g^{-1} b_g^{-1} = 1$. This relation does not hold in $\pi_1(X)$ because it describes a nontrivial path around the singularities. We amend the a_i, b_i by paths $c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1}$ to yield a basis of $\pi_1(X)$, where c_i is a simple closed path around the i-th singularity of X. The group $\pi_1(X)$ is free of rank $n = 2g + \nu - 1$. We use the basis $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g, c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1}\}$ to fix an isomorphism $\pi_1(X) \cong F_n$.

3.1 Action on homology

In $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, a congruence group of level a is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ that contains the kernel of the map $\bar{\varphi}_a\colon \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$, obtained by sending each

matrix entry to its residue modulo a. We know that the Veech group of the once-punctured torus $\bar{E}$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $H_1(\bar{E}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^2$ and the Veech group of $\bar{E}$ acts as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ on $H_1(\bar{E}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. Thus the principal congruence group of level a can equivalently be defined as the group of Veech group elements that act trivially on $H_1(\bar{E}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. We generalise this definition in a straight forward way.

On a primitive translation surface $\bar{X}$, the affine group and the Veech group are isomorphic, as the translation group of $\bar{X}$ is trivial. We use this isomorphism and identify $\Gamma(X)$ with $\mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$. Thus $\Gamma(X)$ acts on the homology of $\bar{X}$ and we define the *principal congruence group* of level a, $\Gamma(a)$, as the group of Veech group elements that act trivially on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. As before, a *congruence group* of level a in $\Gamma(X)$ is a subgroup that contains $\Gamma(a)$.

The action of $\mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$ on the absolute homology $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$, can be derived from the outer action of the affine group on the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. We compose the group homomorphism $\pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(\bar{X})$, given by $a_i \mapsto a_i$, $b_i \mapsto b_i$ and $c_i \mapsto 1$, with the abelianisation $\pi_1(\bar{X}) \to \pi_1(\bar{X})/[\pi_1(\bar{X}), \pi_1(\bar{X})] \cong H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ that maps the fundamental group of $\bar{X}$ to the absolute homology of $\bar{X}$ with integer coefficients. The resulting homomorphism will be called $\mathrm{ab}\colon \pi_1(X) \to H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z})$. The images of the a_i and b_i form a basis of $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ and we use them to fix an isomorphism $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. Next, we compose ab with the canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_a\colon \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \to (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ and obtain the canonical homomorphism $m_a\colon \pi_1(X) \to H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ from the fundamental group to the first homology of $\bar{X}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.



Let $F_{2g} = \langle a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g \rangle \subset \pi_1(X)$ and let F_{2g}^a be the set of all a-th powers of words in F_{2g}. Then a normal generating set for the kernel of m_a is given by

$$H \coloneqq \ker(m_a) = \langle\!\langle \{c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1}\} \cup [F_{2g}, F_{2g}] \cup F_{2g}^a \rangle\!\rangle .$$

Lemma 3.1. *Each element in* $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ *respects* $H = \ker(m_a)$.

Proof. Let $f\colon X \to X$ be an affine map and $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ a lift of f. The group $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ is finite, so H is of finite index in F_n and it suffices to show that γ maps the normal generators of H to H.

First, consider a generator c_i. As f sends singular points to singular points, $\gamma(c_i) = w c_j w^{-1}$ for some $w \in F_n$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, \nu - 1\}$ it is obvious that $\gamma(c_i) \in H$. Furthermore, we see that

$$c_\nu = a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \cdots a_g b_g a_g^{-1} b_g^{-1} c_1^{-1} \cdots c_{\nu-1}^{-1} \in [F_{2g}, F_{2g}] \cdot \langle c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1} \rangle \subseteq H,$$

thus $w c_\nu w^{-1} \in H$.

For every $x, y \in F_{2g}$ we have that $m_a(\gamma(xyx^{-1}y^{-1})) = 0$, as $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ is abelian, so $\gamma([F_{2g}, F_{2g}]) \in H$. Furthermore, $a \cdot z = 0$ for every $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ implies that $\gamma(v^a) \in H$ for every $v \in F_{2g}$, so $\gamma(F_{2g}^a) \in H$. □

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi_a(\gamma)$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n & \xrightarrow{\quad\gamma\quad} & F_n \\ {\scriptstyle m_a}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle m_a} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g} & \xrightarrow[\varphi_a(\gamma)]{} & (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \end{array}$$

commutative. This defines an action $\varphi_a \colon \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \to \mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g})$ of $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Observe that φ_a actually defines an action $\bar{\varphi}_a \colon \Gamma(X) \to \mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g})$, since the homomorphism $\varphi_a(\gamma)$ does not depend on the chosen lift γ of f to $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$. The lift is unique up to an inner automorphism of F_n and every inner automorphism clearly lies in the kernel of φ_a. Indeed, this is the standard action of $\Gamma(X) \cong \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$.

We derive the following characterisation of the principal congruence groups in $\Gamma(X)$.

Remark 3.2. The principal congruence group $\Gamma(a)$ of level a in $\Gamma(X)$, where $\bar{X}$ is a primitive translation surface, is the kernel of the map $\bar{\varphi}_a$.

3.2 A characteristic covering

If we use the map m_a as monodromy map, we get a translation covering $\bar{Y}_a$ of $\bar{X}$ that is strongly related to the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. For the definition of monodromy maps with our notation see [Fin13] Section 1.2. More details on monodromy maps can be found in [Mir95]. So let $p_a \colon \bar{Y}_a \to \bar{X}$ be the translation covering of degree a^{2g} defined by the monodromy map $m_a \colon F_n \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \subseteq S_{a^{2g}}$, where $S_{a^{2g}}$ is the symmetric group on a^{2g} elements. The covering map p_a is unramified above $\Sigma(\bar{X})$ because the c_i lie in the kernel of m_a. Thus, by the Theorem of Riemann-Hurwitz, the genus of the surface $\bar{Y}_a$ is $g(\bar{Y}_a) = a^{2g}(g-1) + 1$.

It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 that p_a is a characteristic covering, i.e. all affine maps on $\bar{X}$ can be lifted to affine maps on $\bar{Y}_a$.

Proposition 3.3. *The translation covering p_a is characteristic and therefore $\Gamma(Y_a) = \Gamma(X)$.*

Proof. Let $f \colon \bar{X} \to \bar{X}$ be an affine map. By Proposition 2.2 we need to show that a lift γ of f to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ preserves $\pi_1(Y_a)$ as subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. As $\pi_1(Y_a) = \ker(m_a) = H$, Lemma 3.1 tells us that all $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ stabilise $\pi_1(Y_a)$. □

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}(d_1, \ldots, d_\nu)$ be the stratum of translation surfaces containing $\bar{X}$. Then Proposition 3.3 gives a characteristic translation covering whose covering surface lies in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(a^{2g}\, d_1, \ldots, a^{2g}\, d_\nu)$ and has genus $g(\bar{Y}_a) = a^{2g}(g-1) + 1$ for every $a \geq 2$.

In the following we make the relation between the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ and $\bar{Y}_a$ precise. Therefore we identify for every $s_i \in \Sigma(\bar{X})$ the preimage set $p_a^{-1}(s_i) \subseteq \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ with the elements in $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$.

For a translation surface $\bar{Z}$ and a singularity $s \in \Sigma(\bar{Z})$, we say that an element of $\pi_1(Z)$ is *freely homotopic* to the singularity s, if it is nontrivial, freely homotopic to a simple path and can be freely homotoped into every neighbourhood of s.

Remark 3.5. Let $\Sigma(\bar{X}) = \{s_1, \ldots, s_\nu\}$ and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ let $\hat{c}_i \in \pi_1(X)$ be a closed path that is freely homotopic to s_i. Every choice of the paths $\hat{c}_i$ induce a bijection

$$\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) \cong \Sigma(\bar{X}) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})\,.$$

The $\hat{c}_i$ can be written as $\hat{c}_i = w_i c_i w_i^{-1}$ with $w_i \in \pi_1(X)$. As p_a is unramified $\hat{c}_i$ lies in $\pi_1(Y_a) \subseteq \pi_1(X)$. To simplify some arguments in Section 3.3 we require that $\hat{c}_i$ is a simple path in $\pi_1(Y_a)$.

Every simple closed path that is freely homotopic to $s \in \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$, can be written as $w' c_i w'^{-1}$ and consequently also as $w\hat{c}_i w^{-1}$ for suitable $i \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ and $w', w \in \pi_1(X)$. Two elements $w\hat{c}_i w^{-1}$ and $w'\hat{c}_j w'^{-1}$ in $\pi_1(Y_a)$ are homotopic to the same singularity iff $i = j$ and $m_a(w) = m_a(w')$. We use this to identify s with $m_a(w) \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. For every $s_i \in \Sigma(\bar{X})$ we obtain a bijection

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) \supseteq p_a^{-1}(s_i) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \\ s & \mapsto & m_a(w)\ , \text{ with } w\hat{c}_i w^{-1} \text{ freely homotopic to } s\,. \end{array}$$

Of course this identification depends on the initially chosen path $\hat{c}_i$. But $\pi_1(Y_a) = \ker(m_a)$ implies that if $\hat{c}_i$ and $\hat{c}_i'$ are homotopic to the same singularity of $\bar{Y}_a$, i.e. differ by conjugation with an element in $\pi_1(Y_a)$, then they define the same map. Altogether the bijections define a map

$$\tilde{m}_a \colon \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) \twoheadrightarrow H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$$

and more precisely a bijection

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Sigma(\bar{X}) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \\ s & \mapsto & (p_a(s), \tilde{m}_a(s)) \end{array} \quad .$$

Thus every singularity of $\bar{Y}_a$ is uniquely defined by a pair (i, z), where $i \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ and $z \in H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$.

Example 3.6. The simplest example of this identification is shown in Figure 1. There, the once-punctured torus E is used as primitive base surface, glued of a unit square with the identified vertices as unique singularity. The centre of the square is used as base point of the fundamental group and the horizontal closed path x and the vertical closed path y through the centre as free generating set. Then the surface Y_2 consists of four copies of E, labelled by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. A simple closed path, homotopic to the singularity of $\bar{E}$, is given by $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. We use it as $\hat{c}_1$. Furthermore, we choose the centre of the copy labelled by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ as base point of $\pi_1(Y_2)$. Figure 1 shows the resulting identification of the singularities in Y_2 with $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ through $\tilde{m}_2$. In addition, a path $w\hat{c}_1 w^{-1}$ with $m_2(w) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is drawn to demonstrate the correlation to the singularity labelled by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$.



Figure 1: Singularities in $\bar{Y}_2$, identified with $H_1(\bar{E}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Now we describe the action of an affine map $f \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ on the singularities of $\bar{Y}_a$ via the identification with $\Sigma(\bar{X}) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let f be an affine map of $\bar{Y}_a$ with derivative A. Furthermore, let γ be any lift of f to $\mathrm{Aut}_{Y_a}(F_n)$. As affine maps send singular points to singular points, there are $j_i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ and $v_i \in F_n$ such that $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_{j_i} v_i^{-1}$. Then $f(i, z) = (j_i, \bar{\varphi}_a(A) \cdot z + z_i)$ where $z_i = m_a(v_i)$.*

Proof. By definition $f(i, 0) = (j_i, z_i)$.
Let $w \in F_n$ with $m_a(w) = z$, i.e. such that $w\hat{c}_i w^{-1}$ is freely homotopic to the singularity (i, z). Then $\gamma(w\hat{c}_i w^{-1}) = \gamma(w) v_i \hat{c}_{j_i} v_i^{-1} \gamma(w)^{-1}$ and

$$m_a(\gamma(w)v_i) = (\varphi_a(\gamma))(m_a(w)) + m_a(v_i) = \bar{\varphi}_a(A) \cdot z + z_i \,. \qquad \square$$

Now suppose that we manage to find a section $\mathrm{aff}\colon \Gamma(Y_a) \to \mathrm{Aff}^+(Y_a)$ of the map der and that we further accomplish to choose the $\hat{c}_i \in \pi_1(X)$ such that $z_i = 0$ for every f in the image of aff and all $i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$. Then the action of $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma(Y_a)$ via aff on $\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) \cong \Sigma(\bar{X}) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ is compatible with the projection of $\Sigma(\bar{X}) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ to $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. Proposition 3.7 states that the induced action on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ equals the standard action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. However, we do not need all z_i to be equal to zero, if we want to recover the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on the homology elements in its action on the singularities of Y_a via aff. What we really need is summarised in the following definition:

Definition 3.8. Let $\bar{X}$ be a primitive translation surface with singularities $\{s_1, \dots, s_\nu\}$ and $a \geq 2$. Furthermore, let $\{A_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a system of generators of $\Gamma(X)$. The surface is said to have property $(\star)$ in level a iff there exists a $\mu \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ and $S = \{\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_\mu\} \subseteq \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ such that $p_a(\hat{s}_i) = s_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ and such that for every $j \in J$ there is an affine map $f_j \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $\mathrm{der}(f_j) = A_j$ and $f_j(S) = S$.

The condition $f(S) = S$ is closed under composition, so property $(\star)$ assures that for every $A \in \Gamma(Y_a) = \Gamma(X)$ there exists an $f \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $f(S) = S$.

Now suppose that f, $f' \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ both fix S and have the same derivative. Then $f' \circ f^{-1} \in \mathrm{Trans}(\bar{Y}_a)$. As $\bar{X}$ is primitive, every affine map on $\bar{Y}_a$ descends to $\bar{X}$ via p_a and with $\mathrm{Trans}(\bar{X}) = \{\mathrm{id}\}$ we obtain $f' \circ f^{-1} \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{Y}_a/\bar{X})$. As $p_a \colon \bar{Y}_a \to \bar{X}$ is unramified, $f' \circ f^{-1}$ is either without fixed points or equals the identity map. Let $f(\hat{s}_i) = \hat{s}_j$. As f and f' have the same derivative, they both descend to the same map on X, mapping s_i to s_j. This implies $f'(\hat{s}_i) = \hat{s}_j$, so $f' \circ f^{-1}$ has the fixed point $\hat{s}_j$ and thereby $f = f'$.

Altogether this proves, that the set $\{f_j \mid j \in J\}$ defines via $A_j \mapsto f_j$ a section $\mathrm{aff} \colon \Gamma(Y_a) \to \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$.

Remark 3.9. Let $\bar{X}$ be a primitive translation surface with property $(\star)$ in level a. We choose the $\hat{c}_i \in \pi_1(Y_a) \subseteq \pi_1(X)$ to be freely homotopic to $\hat{s}_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$. According to property $(\star)$ and Proposition 3.7 every element in the image of aff respects $\Sigma' \coloneqq p_a^{-1}(p_a(S)) \cong p_a(S) \times H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. Thus the action of $\Gamma(Y_a)$ on $\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ via aff can be restricted to an action on Σ'. As $z_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$, this action induces via $\tilde{m}_a \colon \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a) \to H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}), (i, z) \mapsto z$ an action of $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma(Y_a)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ which equals the standard action $\bar{\varphi}_a$ of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.10. An affine map is called *pure* if it preserves the singularities pointwise (and not only setwise as usual). The derivatives of all pure affine maps form the *pure Veech group*.

Primitive translation surfaces with exactly one singular point and more generally, translation surfaces whose pure Veech group equals its Veech group obviously have property $(\star)$ in every level: use $\mu = 1$ and chose an arbitrary $\hat{s}_1 \in \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$. As the unramified covering $p_a \colon \bar{Y}_a \to \bar{X}$ is normal, $\mathrm{Trans}(\bar{Y}_a)$ acts transitively on the set $\Sigma' = p_a^{-1}(p_a(\hat{s}_1))$. Every $f \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{X})$ fixes $p_a(\hat{s}_1)$, thus it has a lift fixing $\hat{s}_1$.

3.3 A ramified covering

If $\bar{X}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a, then the derivatives of affine maps that fix the set $\{(i, z) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}\} \subseteq \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ for every $z \in H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ form the principal congruence group of level a. In this section we use this and realise every congruence group of level a that can be described as preimage set of the stabiliser of a partition of $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ as Veech group. To achieve this we define a ramified covering of $\bar{Y}_a$ whose ramification behaviour is defined using the (via $\tilde{m}_a$) induced partition of Σ'.

Definition 3.11. Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$ be a partition of $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$, i.e. $b_i \cap b_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{1=1}^{p} b_i = (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Define

$$\Gamma_B \coloneqq \{A \in \Gamma(X) \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, p\} \exists j \in \{1, \dots, p\} : \bar{\varphi}_a(A)(b_i) = b_j\}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $a \geq 2$ and suppose that $\bar{X}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a. Furthermore, let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$ be a partition of $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Then there exists a translation covering $p \colon \bar{Z} \to \bar{X}$ with $\Gamma(Z) = \Gamma_B$.*

The proof of this theorem is the goal of this section. Thus, from now on we assume that $a \geq 2$ and that $\bar{X}$ is a primitive translation surface with property $(\star)$ in level a.

We choose p different natural numbers $r_1, \dots, r_p$ greater than 1 and define three subsets of $H = \pi_1(Y_a)$: the first one is the set C_X of all simple closed curves that are freely homotopic to a singularity in $\bar{X}$:

$$C_X \coloneqq \{ w\hat{c}_i w^{-1} \mid w \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\} \} \subseteq H \,.$$

As p_a is unramified, C_X is also the set of all closed curves, freely homotopic to a singularity in $\bar{Y}_a$.

The second set, $C_{B,\mu}$, contains closed curves that are a power of elements from C_X, chosen in accordance with the partition B:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{B,\mu} \coloneqq \{ & w\hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1} \mid w \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \text{ and } \varsigma \text{ is such that } m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma \} \\ \cup \quad & \{ w\hat{c}_i w^{-1} \mid w \in F_n, i \in \{\mu+1, \dots, \nu\} \} \qquad \subseteq H \,. \end{aligned}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 6.5 in [Sch05], we now prove a characterisation of paths winding (several times) around a singularity and lying in $N_{B,\mu} \coloneqq \langle C_{B,\mu} \rangle$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $w \in F_n$ with $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ then*

$$w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \in N_{B,\mu} = \langle C_{B,\mu} \rangle \Leftrightarrow r_\varsigma \mid l \,.$$

Proof. As $w\hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1} \in C_{B,\mu}$, $r_\varsigma \mid l$ obviously implies $w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \in N_{B,\mu}$.

To prove the reverse implication, let $p_\infty \colon Y_\infty \to X$ be the unramified covering defined by the subgroup $N_X \coloneqq \langle C_X \rangle$, i.e. the normal unramified covering with monodromy map $m \colon F_n \to F_n/N_X$. By lifting the charts from X to Y_∞, the surface Y_∞ becomes an infinite translation surface. We show in the following that $N_X = \pi_1(Y_\infty)$ is freely generated by the set S defined in Equation 1.

For every $i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, the path $c_i \in \pi_1(X)$ is freely homotopic to the singularity s_i in $\bar{X}$. Hence if we develop the path c_i along appropriate charts in $\mathbb{R}^2$, then we get a closed curve that has winding number κ around an innermost point iff the singularity s_i has multiplicity κ. The path $\hat{c}_i$ is contained in N_X, so $m(\hat{c}_i) = 1_{F_n/N_X}$, thus $m(c_i) = 1_{F_n/N_X}$. This implies that the path c_i also describes a closed path in Y_∞ with finite winding number κ when projected to $\mathbb{R}^2$. The same is obviously true for every conjugate of c_i. Thus the metric completion Y'_∞ of Y_∞ adds a finite angle singularity to the translation structure of Y_∞ for every singularity $s_i \in \Sigma(\bar{X})$ and for every $k \in F_n/N_X$. The covering $Y_\infty \to X$ extends to an unramified covering map $Y'_\infty \to \bar{X}$ and we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_\infty & \xhookrightarrow{\beta} & Y'_\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xhookrightarrow{\alpha} & \bar{X} \end{array}$$

with inclusions $\alpha \colon X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ and $\beta \colon Y_\infty \hookrightarrow Y'_\infty$. The maps α and β induce maps $\alpha_* \colon \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(\bar{X})$ and $\beta_* \colon \pi_1(Y_\infty) \to \pi_1(Y'_\infty)$ and a commutative diagram of the fundamental groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_X = \pi_1(Y_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & \pi_1(Y'_\infty) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & \pi_1(\bar{X}) \end{array}$$

The surfaces X and $\bar{X}$ and thereby also Y_∞ and Y'_∞ differ only in a discrete set of points. Hence α_* and β_* are surjective. Furthermore, $\alpha_*(c_i) = 1$ thus $\alpha_*(\hat{c}_i) = 1$ and $\alpha_*(N_X) = 1$. This implies that $\pi_1(Y'_\infty) = \beta_*(N_X) = \alpha_*(N_X) = \{1\}$ is trivial. Thus Y'_∞ is the universal covering of $\bar{X}$ and in particular simply connected. So the genus of Y'_∞ is 0. Consequently, Y_∞ is homeomorphic to a plane with a discrete subset of points removed. Thus $\pi_1(Y_\infty)$ is freely generated by a set that contains a simple closed path around each singularity of Y_∞. For every $s \in \Sigma(\bar{X})$ and every element in F_n/N_X there is a singularity in Y_∞.

As $N_X \subseteq H$, Y_∞ is a covering of Y_a. The elements $\hat{c}_i$ are by definition simple closed paths in Y_a thus they are simple in Y_∞. If we choose a preimage v_h of every $h \in F_n/N_X$, then the following set is a free generating set of $\pi_1(Y_\infty)$:

$$S \coloneqq \{v_h \hat{c}_i v_h^{-1} \mid h \in F_n/N_X, i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}\}. \tag{1}$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ and $h \in F_n/N_X$ we define the homomorphism

$$\varphi_{i,h} \colon \pi_1(Y_\infty) \to (\mathbb{Z}, +), w \mapsto \sharp_{v_h \hat{c}_i v_h^{-1}}(w).$$

by sending the free generator $v_h \hat{c}_i v_h^{-1}$ to 1 and the remaining generators to 0.
For $w \in F_n$ with $m(w) = k$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{i,h}(w\hat{c}_j^l w^{-1}) &= \varphi_{i,h}(wv_k^{-1}) + l \cdot \varphi_{i,h}(v_k \hat{c}_j v_k^{-1}) - \varphi_{i,h}(wv_k^{-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{, if } k \neq h \text{ or } j \neq i \\ l & \text{, if } k = h \text{ and } j = i \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

As $N_X \subseteq H$ the map m_a factors through m:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n & \xrightarrow{\quad m_a \quad} & F_n/H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g} \\ & {\scriptstyle m} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \phi} & \\ & F_n/N_X & \end{array}$$

As $C_{B,\mu} \subseteq N_X$ we have $N_{B,\mu} \subseteq N_X = \pi_1(Y_\infty)$.
If $w, w' \in F_n$ with $m(w) = m(w') = k$, then $m_a(w') = \phi(m(w')) = \phi(m(w)) = m_a(w)$. Thus all $w \in m^{-1}(k)$ are mapped into the same $b_\varsigma \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. We conclude for $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$, $k \in F_n/N_X$ and ς such that $\phi(k) \in b_\varsigma$ that

$$\varphi_{i,k}(C_{B,\mu}) = \varphi_{i,k}(\{w\hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1} \mid w \in F_n, m(w) = k\}) = \{r_\varsigma\},$$

implying $\varphi_{i,k}(N_{B,\mu}) = \langle r_\varsigma \rangle$.
Now let $w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \in N_{B,\mu}$ with $m(w) = k$ and let ς be such that $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$. Then $\varphi_{i,k}(w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1}) = l \in \langle r_\varsigma \rangle$ thus $r_\varsigma \mid l$. □

In analogy to Lemma 6.7 in [Sch05] we prove that the stabiliser of $N_{B,\mu}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ is the set

$$\begin{aligned}G_{B,\mu} = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}\, \exists j_i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \text{ and } v_i \in F_n : \\ \gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_{j_i} v_i^{-1},\ m_a(v_i) = 0 \text{ and } \forall w \in F_n : \\ m_a(w) \text{ and } m_a(\gamma(w)) \text{ are in the same } b_\varsigma\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.13. $G_{B,\mu} = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu}) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu})$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu}) \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu})$ is trivial.

Now let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu})$. We start by showing the following claim: if $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$ then $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_{j_i} v_i^{-1}$ where $j_i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$ (and $v_i \in F_n$). Furthermore, if $i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$ then $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_{j_i} v_i^{-1}$ with $j_i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$ (and $v_i \in F_n$).

So at first let $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$ and suppose that $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_j v_i^{-1}$ where $j > \mu$. Then $v_i \hat{c}_j v_i^{-1} \in N_{B,\mu}$. As $\gamma^{-1} \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu})$ and $\gamma^{-1}(v_i \hat{c}_j v_i^{-1}) = \hat{c}_i \in N_{B,\mu}$, Lemma 3.12 implies that $r_1 \mid 1$. That is a contradiction to $r_1 > 1$.

Now let $i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$ and suppose that $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_j v_i^{-1}$ where $j \leq \mu$. Then $\gamma^{-1} \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu})$ and $\gamma^{-1}(\hat{c}_j) = \gamma^{-1}(v_i^{-1}) \hat{c}_i \gamma^{-1}(v_i)$. But this is something that we just excluded.

Elements of $C_{B,\mu}$ are either of the form $w \hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1}$ with $w \in F_n$, $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$ or of the form $w \hat{c}_i w^{-1}$ with $w \in F_n$ and $i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$. For $i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$ the above claim states that $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) \in C_{B,\mu}$ and $\gamma(w \hat{c}_i w^{-1}) \in C_{B,\mu}$ follows immediately. If $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$, then $h \coloneqq w \hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1}$ with $w \in F_n$ and $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$ is mapped to $\gamma(h) = \gamma(w) v_i \hat{c}_{j_i}^{r_\varsigma} v_i^{-1} \gamma(w^{-1}) \in N_{B,\mu}$. Thus Lemma 3.12 implies that $r_\varrho \mid r_\varsigma$ where $\varrho \in \{1,\dots,p\}$ such that $m_a(\gamma(w)v_i) \in b_\varrho$. As $\gamma(w) v_i \hat{c}_{j_i}^{r_\varrho} v_i^{-1} \gamma(w^{-1}) \in N_{B,\mu}$ also $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(w) v_i \hat{c}_{j_i}^{r_\varrho} v_i^{-1} \gamma(w^{-1})) = w \hat{c}_i^{r_\varrho} w^{-1} \in N_{B,\mu}$ which implies $r_\varsigma \mid r_\varrho$. Thus $\varsigma = \varrho$ and $\gamma(h) \in C_{B,\mu}$. This completes $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(N_{B,\mu}) \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu})$.

The preceding paragraph also shows that for $\gamma \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu})$, $w \in F_n$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$, the elements $m_a(w)$ and $m_a(\gamma(w)v_i)$ lie in a common partition set b_ς of B. Thus $m_a(v_i) = m_a(1_{F_n}) = 0$ and $m_a(w)$ and $m_a(\gamma(w))$ are in the same partition set of B for all $w \in F_n$. Hence it follows that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu}) \subseteq G_{B,\mu}$.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(C_{B,\mu}) \supseteq G_{B,\mu}$. Therefore let $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$ and $h \coloneqq w \hat{c}_i^{r_\varsigma} w^{-1} \in C_{B,\mu}$ with $w \in F_n$, $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$ and $i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$. Then $\gamma(h) = \gamma(w) v_i \hat{c}_{j_i}^{r_\varsigma} v_i^{-1} \gamma(w)^{-1}$ with $j_i \in \{1,\dots,\mu\}$, and $m_a(\gamma(w)v_i) = m_a(\gamma(w)) + m_a(v_i) = m_a(\gamma(w))$ lies in the same partition set of B as $m_a(w)$. Thus $\gamma(h) \in C_{B,\mu}$. To that end, let $w \hat{c}_i w^{-1} \in C_{B,\mu}$ with $w \in F_n$ and $i \in \{\mu+1,\dots,\nu\}$. Then $\gamma(\hat{c}_i) = v \hat{c}_j v^{-1}$ with $j > \mu$ because γ is the lift of an affine map f on X, and this affine map permutes the singularities of X. As the set of singularities $\{s_1,\dots,s_\mu\}$ is preserved by f, its complement $\{s_{\mu+1},\dots,s_\nu\}$ is also respected by f. This implies $\gamma(w \hat{c}_i w^{-1}) \in C_{B,\mu}$. □

The next lemma is the first place where we actually make use of property $(\star)$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\vartheta\colon \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n) \to \Gamma(X), \gamma_A \mapsto A$ be defined as in Section 2. Then $\vartheta(G_{B,\mu}) = \Gamma_B$.*

Proof. First let $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$. Then, by Definition of $G_{B,\mu}$, $m_a(w)$ and $m_a(\gamma(w))$ lie in the same partition set of B for every $w \in F_n$. For $A \coloneqq \vartheta(\gamma)$, arbitrary $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ and $w \in F_n$ such that $m_a(w) = z$ we have:

$$\bar{\varphi}_a(A)(z) = \varphi_a(\gamma)(m_a(w)) = m_a(\gamma(w))\,.$$

Thus A respects the partition B and therefore belongs to Γ_B. Note that so far we did not use property $(\star)$.

Next let $A \in \Gamma_B$. Recall that the affine maps f_j whose derivatives A_j generate $\Gamma(X)$ in property $(\star)$ (see Definition 3.8) define via $\mathrm{aff}(A_j) = f_j$ a section $\mathrm{aff}\colon \Gamma(X) \to \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ of the map der.

If $A \in \Gamma_B$, then A respects the partition B. Hence for every lift γ of A to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ and all $w \in F_n$, $m_a(w)$ and $m_a(\gamma(w))$ lie in the same partition of B. Now let $\gamma_A \coloneqq \mathrm{lift}(\mathrm{aff}(A))$. Then by definition of the map aff, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$, $(\mathrm{aff}(A))(\hat{s}_i) = \hat{s}_j$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$. Thus $\gamma_A(\hat{c}_i) = v_i \hat{c}_j v_i^{-1}$ with $m_a(v_i) = 0$. This implies that $\gamma_A \in G_{B,\mu}$. □

Unfortunately the subgroup $N_{B,\mu}$ has infinite index in $\pi_1(X)$ and thereby does not define a (finite) translation surface covering $\bar{X}$. We introduce two more subsets of N_X that help us to increase the subgroup $N_{B,\mu}$ in a way that guarantees that the ϑ-image of the stabiliser of the enlarged subgroup remains in Γ_B.

$$\begin{aligned} P_X &\coloneqq \{v^l \mid v \in C_X, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \mid w \in F_n, i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ P_{B,\mu} &\coloneqq \{v^l \mid v \in C_{B,\mu}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \in P_X \mid i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \text{ and } m(w) \in b_\varsigma \Rightarrow (r_\varsigma \text{ divides } l)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{w\hat{c}_i^l w^{-1} \mid i \in \{\mu+1, \dots, \nu\},\, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \overset{\text{Lemma 3.12}}{=} N_{B,\mu} \cap P_X\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that because of $\gamma(C_X) = C_X$ the set P_X is stabilised by every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$.

Corollary 3.15 (see Corollary 6.9 in [Sch05])**.** *Let $U \leq F_n$ with $U \cap P_X = P_{B,\mu}$. Then $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(U) \subseteq G_{B,\mu}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(U)$. As γ stabilises U and P_X, it stabilises $P_{B,\mu} = U \cap P_X$. Then by $C_{B,\mu} \subseteq P_{B,\mu}$ it follows that $\gamma(C_{B,\mu}) \subseteq \gamma(P_{B,\mu}) \subseteq P_{B,\mu} \subseteq N_{B,\mu}$. As $N_{B,\mu} = \langle C_{B,\mu} \rangle$, this implies that $\gamma(N_{B,\mu}) \subseteq N_{B,\mu}$. Thus γ stabilises $N_{B,\mu}$. By Lemma 3.13 we have that $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$. □

Now we have everything we need in order to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We choose p pairwise different, positive, odd numbers $r_1, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{N}$, greater than 1. Then we define a translation covering $p\colon \bar{Y} \to \bar{Y}_a$ such that every preimage of a singularity $s \in \Sigma' = p_a^{-1}(p_a(S)) \subseteq \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $\tilde{m}_a(s) \in b_\varsigma$ has ramification index r_ς. Outside of Σ', the covering p is chosen to be unramified. According to [EKS84] Proposition 3.3 a topological covering with these properties always exists. By lifting the translation structure from $\bar{Y}_a$ to $\bar{Y}$, we make it a translation covering.

For $h = w\hat{c}_i w^{-1}$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$ and $m_a(w) \in b_\varsigma$, $h \in \pi_1(Y_a)$ is freely homotopic to a singularity $s \in \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $\tilde{m}_a(s) \in b_\varsigma$. All preimages of s via p have ramification index r_ς. Thus h^{r_ς} is the smallest power of h contained in $\pi_1(Y)$. The ramification further implies that $w\hat{c}_i w^{-1} \in \pi_1(Y)$ for every $i \in \{\mu+1, \dots, \nu\}$. Thus $\pi_1(Y) \cap P_X = P_{B,\mu}$ and Corollary 3.15 together with Lemma 3.14 implies that $\Gamma(Y) \subseteq \Gamma_B$.

For the second step, we define $W \coloneqq \bigcap_{\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}} \gamma(\pi_1(Y))$. This is a finite index subgroup of F_n, stabilised by all $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$. Hence $G_{B,\mu} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(W)$. The subgroup W defines a finite translation covering $q\colon \bar{Z} \to \bar{X}$ with $\pi_1(Z) = W$, and it remains to prove $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(\pi_1(Z)) \subseteq G_{B,\mu}$. Then, by Proposition 2.2, $\Gamma(Z) = \vartheta(G_{B,\mu}) = \Gamma_B$.

The set $G_{B,\mu}$ is defined in a way that assures $\gamma(N_{B,\mu}) = N_{B,\mu}$ for all $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$. Hence, for $\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}$,

$$P_{B,\mu} = P_X \cap N_{B,\mu} = \gamma(P_X) \cap \gamma(N_{B,\mu}) \overset{\gamma \text{ injective}}{=} \gamma(P_X \cap N_{B,\mu}) = \gamma(P_{B,\mu})\,.$$

As $P_{B,\mu} \subseteq \pi_1(Y)$ this implies $P_{B,\mu} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}} \gamma(P_{B,\mu}) \subseteq \bigcap_{\gamma \in G_{B,\mu}} \gamma(\pi_1(Y)) = W$. Of course $P_{B,\mu} \subseteq P_X$, so $P_{B,\mu} \subseteq P_X \cap W$. Furthermore, we have $W \subseteq \pi_1(Y)$. Hence $P_X \cap W \subseteq P_X \cap \pi_1(Y) = P_{B,\mu}$. Thus $P_X \cap W = P_{B,\mu}$ and consequently, by Corollary 3.15, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)}(\pi_1(Z)) \subseteq G_{B,\mu}$. □

Remark 3.16. Denote by $\mathrm{p}\Gamma(X)$ the pure Veech group of a primitive translation surface X. Without the need of property $(\star)$ one can prove in analogy to Theorem 1 the following result (see Theorem 2 in [Fin13]): For every partition $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_p\}$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ there exists a translation covering $p\colon \bar{Z} \to \bar{X}$ with $\Gamma(Z) = \Gamma_B \cap \mathrm{p}\Gamma(X)$.

3.4 Partition stabilising groups

The groups Γ_B are congruence groups of level a. By Theorem 1 we are now able to find a translation covering $\bar{Z} \to \bar{X}$ for every primitive surface $\bar{X}$, every $a \geq 2$ and every partition B of $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ such that $\Gamma(Z) = \Gamma_B$ whenever $\bar{X}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a. Obviously the next question is, which subgroups of $\Gamma(X)$ equal Γ_B for a suitable partition B.

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma(X)$ be a congruence group of level a. Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_B$ for the partition B of $(\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ iff $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$ is the stabiliser of B in $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma(X))$. So we would like to know which congruence subgroups of $\Gamma(X)$ are stabilising groups in this sense.

A first corollary to Theorem 1 is the following.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $\bar{X}$ be a primitive translation surface with property $(\star)$ in level a. Then the principal congruence group in $\Gamma(X)$ of level a can be realised as Veech group of a translation surface.*

Proof. The principal congruence group $\Gamma(a)$ of level a equals Γ_B for

$$B = \{\,\{z\} \mid z \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}\}\,. \qquad \square$$

Given a group G acting on a set X one could ask the more general question: which subgroups of G are the stabiliser of a partition B of X? This question was answered in Chapter 6.5 in [Sch05]: $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the stabiliser of a partition iff it is the stabiliser of its orbit space (see Corollary 6.24 in [Sch05]). Thus we only have to check whether $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$ is the stabiliser of its orbit space.

The smallest congruence group of a particular level is the principal congruence group. It is the stabiliser of its trivial orbit space. The following lemma shows that the next smallest congruence groups are stabilising groups as well.

Proposition 3.18. *If $\bar{X}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a and $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X)$ is a congruence group of level a with $[\Gamma : \Gamma(a)] = 2$, then Γ is the Veech group of a covering surface of $\bar{X}$.*

Proof. The image of Γ in $\mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g})$ has order 2, say $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma) = \{I, \bar{A}\}$. Thus every orbit has length ≤ 2. This implies that the image of $v \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ through $\bar{A}$ is uniquely determined by the orbit it lies in: if $\{v\}$ is an orbit consisting of one element, then certainly $\bar{A} \cdot v = v$, and if v lies in $\{v, w\}$, then $\bar{A} \cdot v = w$.

Now suppose that there exists a $\bar{C} \in \bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma(X)) \setminus \bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$ that respects the orbit space of $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$. Then, as $\bar{C} \neq \bar{A}$ and $\bar{C} \neq I$, there would be $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$-orbits $\{v, w\}$ and $\{v', w'\}$ such that $\bar{C} \cdot v = v$, $\bar{C} \cdot w = w$, $\bar{C} \cdot v' = w'$ and $\bar{C} \cdot w' = v'$. This implies that $\bar{C}(v + v') = v + w'$. Because of $v' \neq w'$ it follows that $v + v' \neq v + w'$ and as $\bar{C}$ respects the $\bar{\varphi}_a(\Gamma)$-orbits, $\bar{A}(v + v') = v + w'$. But $\bar{A}(v + v') = w + w' \neq v + w'$, as $w \neq v$. This is a contradiction, hence $\bar{C}$ does not exist. □

4 Regular n-gons

Two classes of examples of primitive translation surfaces are the family of regular double-n-gons for odd $n \geq 5$ and the family of regular $2n$-gons for $n \geq 4$. Veech himself considered in [Vee89] the family of double-n-gons for $n = 3$ and all $n \geq 5$. He constructed these surfaces by the billiard unfolding construction (see [ZK75] or [FK36]) in a polygon with angles π/n, π/n and $(n-2)\pi/n$. For even n, the regular double-n-gon is a degree two covering of the regular n-gon. We only consider the cases where the genus of the surfaces is greater than 1, which leads to the bounds $n \geq 5$ and $n \geq 4$, respectively. Other references concerning the double-n-gons are [HS01] Chapter 1.7 and [Vor96] Chapter 4.

4.1 The regular double-n-gon

As the name suggests, the regular double-n-gon is obtained by gluing two regular n-gons. There is only one way to glue them that results in a translation surface: first identify two arbitrary sides of the two n-gons. This fixes their relative position in the plane and leads to a $2(n-1)$-gon P. Each edge in P has a unique parallel edge. Identifying each edge with its partner gives a compact orientable surface of genus $g = \frac{n-1}{2}$ that we call $\bar{X}_n$. The translation structure of $\bar{X}_n$ has exactly one singular point with conical angle $(n-2) \cdot 2\pi$. The fact that $\bar{X}_n$ is primitive is well known and proven e.g. in [Fin11] Lemma 3.3. There, the alternative definition for a surface to be primitive (mentioned in Section 2) was used, but the given proof also meets our definition.

Veech determined the Veech group of $\bar{X}_n$ in [Vee89] Theorem 5.8. It is generated by the matrices

$$R = R(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/n & -\sin \pi/n \\ \sin \pi/n & \cos \pi/n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \quad T = T(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_n = 2 \cot \pi/n$. The affine map with derivative R rotates the two n-gons and interchanges them. A maximal connected set of homotopic simple closed geodesics in a translation surface is called *cylinder*. To understand the affine map f_T with derivative T, we use the fact that $\bar{X}_n$ decomposes into horizontal cylinders (see Figure 2). The ratio of the width to the height in each of these $\frac{n-1}{2}$ cylinders is λ_n (see [Fin11] Section 3.1). Thus the map f_T is obtained by concatenating a Dehn twist of each of the cylinders.



Figure 2: Decomposition of $\bar{X}_7$ into horizontal cylinders.

The projective Veech group is the orientation preserving part of the Hecke triangle group with signature $(2, n, \infty)$. A presentation of $\Gamma(X_n)$ is $\langle R, T \mid R^{2n} = I, (T^{-1}R)^2 = R^n, R^nT = TR^n \rangle$, where I is the identity element.

Remark 4.1. As the surface $\bar{X}_n$ has exactly one singular point, it has property $(\star)$ in every level. In [Fin13] Section 3.4 we prove for odd $n \geq 5$ that $\Gamma(X_n)/\Gamma(2)$ is the dihedral group with $2n$ elements. For odd $n \geq 7$ every congruence group of level 2 is the Veech group of a translation covering of $\bar{X}_n$. This is the result of Theorem 5 in [Fin13]. Its proof uses Theorem 1.

We use $\bar{X}_n$ as primitive base surface in Section 5. There we examine the congruence levels of a congruence group in $\Gamma(X_n)$.

4.2 The regular $2n$-gon

The surfaces in the last section, the double-n-gons for odd $n \geq 5$, have exactly one singularity. The family of regular $2n$-gons with $n \geq 4$ additionally gives for odd n some examples of primitive translation surfaces with more then one singularity (with two, to be precise). These translation surfaces are obtained by identifying the parallel sides of a regular $2n$-gon. We call them $\bar{X}_{2n}$. It is well known that $\bar{X}_{2n}$ is primitive.

A regular 10-gon is shown in Figure 3. The vertices of the 10-gon are glued to two singularities, drawn as circle and rectangle in the figure.



Figure 3: Generators of the fundamental group of X_{10}.

If n is even, then $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has one singular point. If n is odd, then $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has two singular points. Computing the Euler characteristic we deduce that $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has genus

$$g(\bar{X}_{2n}) = \begin{cases} n/2 & \text{, if } n \text{ is even} \\ (n-1)/2 & \text{, if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} .$$

The fundamental group of X_{2n} is in both cases free in n generators. We use the following basis of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{2n})$ (see Figure 3 for an example): the centre of the $2n$-gon is our base point. Up to scaling and rotating, a regular $2n$-gon has its vertices in $(\cos(j\frac{2\pi}{2n}), \sin(j\frac{2\pi}{2n}))$ with $j = 0, \ldots, 2n-1$. We call this normalised regular $2n$-gon P. Next we number the first n edges of P counterclockwise with $0, \ldots, n-1$, starting with the edge from $(1,0)$ to $(\cos(\frac{2\pi}{2n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi}{2n}))$ and do the same with the next n edges of the polygon. Then for every $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, there is an edge labelled with i in the lower half of P and a parallel edge labelled with i in the upper half of P. Up to homotopy, there is a unique simple closed path from the midpoint of edge i in the lower half to the midpoint of edge i in the upper half of P. We choose the path to cross the base point and call it x_i. Then the set $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of $F_n = \pi_1(X_{2n})$. An arbitrary element of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{2n})$ can be factorised in this basis by recording the labels of the crossed edges of P and the directions of the crossings. This makes the basis very favourable if one defines coverings of $\bar{X}_{2n}$ by gluing copies of P.

According to Lemma J in [HS01], the Veech group of $\bar{X}_{2n}$ equals the Veech group of its degree-2-covering, investigated by Veech. Thus Theorem 5.8 in [Vee89] implies that the Veech group of the regular $2n$-gon for $n \geq 4$ is $\Gamma(X_{2n}) = \langle T, R^2, RTR^{-1} \rangle$ where

$$R = R(2n) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/2n & -\sin \pi/2n \\ \sin \pi/2n & \cos \pi/2n \end{pmatrix}, \; T = T(2n) \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_{2n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\lambda_{2n} = 2 \cot \pi/2n$. This is the orientation preserving part of a Hecke triangle group with signature (n, ∞, ∞). The generators given as words in R and T reflect its structure as index-2-subgroup of the orientation preserving part of the Hecke triangle group with signature $(2, 2n, \infty)$, i.e. of

$$\langle R, T \mid R^{4n} = I, (T^{-1}R)^2 = R^{2n}, R^{2n}T = TR^{2n} \rangle .$$

The relation $(T^{-1}R)^2 = R^{2n}$ implies that $RTR^{-1} = R^{2-2n}T^{-1}$. Hence the third generator of $\Gamma(X_{2n})$ is redundant. With the Reidemeister-Schreier method (see e.g. [LS77] Chapter II.4) and some simple transformations, one deduces the following presentation of $\Gamma(X_{2n})$:

$$\Gamma(X_{2n}) = \langle T, R^2 \mid R^{4n}, (R^2)^n T = T(R^2)^n \rangle$$

We use lifts of the affine maps with derivative R^2 and T in $\mathrm{Aut}_{X_{2n}}(F_n)$ in order to determine the levels in which $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has property $(\star)$. Recall that $\bar{X}_{2n}$ is primitive, hence there is a unique affine map f with derivative R^2 and a unique affine map g with derivative T on $\bar{X}_{2n}$.

The affine map f with derivative R^2 is a counterclockwise rotation of P by π/n around its centre. Thus

$$\gamma_{R^2} : \begin{cases} F_n & \to & F_n \\ x_i & \mapsto & x_{i+1} \\ x_{n-1} & \mapsto & x_0^{-1} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, n-2$$

is a lift of R^2 to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$.

As $|\Sigma(\bar{X}_{2n})| = 1$ if n is even, only the surfaces $\bar{X}_{2n}$ for odd n are examples of primitive translation surfaces with more then one singularity and add qualitatively new results to results on the double-n-gons. Therefore we only compute a lift of T to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ for odd n. The lift for even n can be obtained similarly.

If we decompose the regular $2n$-gon into horizontal cylinders, as indicated in Figure 3 by dashed lines, then the ratio of the width to the height of every cylinder is λ_{2n} (see [Fin11] Section 3.2). Hence the (unique) affine map g with derivative T maps each horizontal cylinder to itself and thereby shears each cylinder once. The image of a closed path in X_{2n} under g can be described as follows: every time the path traverses one of the cylinders, the appropriately oriented core curve of the cylinder is inserted into the path. Thus, in analogy to the computations in Chapter 7.3 in [Fre08] for the double-n-gon, a lift $\gamma_T \colon F_n \to F_n$ of T to $\mathrm{Aut}_{X_{2n}}(F_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
x_j &\mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{j}(x_i x_{n-1-i}{}^{-1}) \cdot x_j \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j}(x_{n-1-(j-i)}{}^{-1} x_{j-i})\,, \\
x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} &\mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(x_i x_{n-1-i}{}^{-1}) \cdot x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(x_{n-1-(\frac{n-3}{2}-i)}{}^{-1} x_{\frac{n-3}{2}-i})\,, \\
x_{n-1-j} &\mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{j}(x_i x_{n-1-i}{}^{-1}) \cdot x_{n-1-j} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j}(x_{n-1-(j-i)}{}^{-1} x_{j-i})
\end{aligned}$$

for odd $n \geq 5$ where $j = 0, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}$.

4.3 $\bar{X}_{2n}$ and property $(\star)$

In this section we prove that $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a if and only if a is coprime to n, where n is odd and $n \geq 5$. Therefore we need to know how the affine maps with derivative A act on the singularities of $\bar{Y}_a$ for each generator A of $\Gamma(X_{2n})$. Proposition 3.7 implies that the action on the homology helps to compute the action of the affine maps in $\bar{Y}_a$ on $\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$.

The following remark recalls how the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ is related to the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ in our context.

Remark 4.2. Recall that the group homomorphism φ_a which defines the action of $\Gamma(X)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$ is the unique map with $\varphi_a(\gamma) \circ m_a = m_a \circ \gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$. The map $m_a \colon F_n \to F_n/H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ with $H = \langle\!\langle\, [F_{2g}, F_{2g}] \cup F_{2g}^a \cup \{c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1}\} \,\rangle\!\rangle$ factors over $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ through $\mathrm{ab} \colon F_n \to F_n/\hat{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$, where $\hat{H} = \langle\!\langle\, [F_{2g}, F_{2g}] \cup \{c_1, \ldots, c_{\nu-1}\} \,\rangle\!\rangle$ and the canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_a \colon \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \to (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Note that the map $\mathrm{pr}_a \colon \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \to (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ should be interpreted as $\mathrm{pr}_a \colon H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$.

Every $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ descends to a unique $\mathrm{ab}(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathrm{ab}(\gamma) \circ \mathrm{ab} = \mathrm{ab} \circ \gamma$. This follows immediately by the proof of Lemma 3.1. The map $\mathrm{ab}(\gamma)$ descends further to an element $\mathrm{pr}_a(\mathrm{ab}(\gamma))$ in $\mathrm{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g})$ and because all the descendants where unique, the following diagram commutes:



For the rest of this section let $n \geq 5$ be an odd natural number. We compute $\mathrm{ab}(\gamma_{R^2})$ and $\mathrm{ab}(\gamma_T)$, where γ_{R^2} and γ_T are the lifts of the generators R^2 and T of $\Gamma(X_{2n})$ in Section 4.2.

Simple paths that are freely homotopic to the singularities s_1 or s_2, respectively, of $\bar{X}_{2n}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 = x_0 {x_1}^{-1} \cdots x_{n-3} {x_{n-2}}^{-1} x_{n-1} \\ \text{and} \quad & c_2 = {x_0}^{-1} x_1 \cdots {x_{n-3}}^{-1} x_{n-2} {x_{n-1}}^{-1} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence the image of any subset of $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ with $n-1$ elements under

$$\mathrm{ab} \colon \pi_1(X_{2n}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(\bar{X}_{2n}) / [\pi_1(\bar{X}_{2n}), \pi_1(\bar{X}_{2n})] \cong H_1(\bar{X}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is a basis of $H_1(\bar{X}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$ and thereby induces an isomorphism $H_1(\bar{X}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. We choose $\{\mathrm{ab}(x_0), \ldots, \mathrm{ab}(x_{\frac{n-3}{2}}), \mathrm{ab}(x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}), \ldots, \mathrm{ab}(x_{n-1})\}$ as basis of $H_1(\bar{X}_{2n}, \mathbb{Z})$. Let e_j denote the j-th standard unit vector according to this basis. Then $\mathrm{ab}(x_j) = e_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$ and $\mathrm{ab}(x_j) = e_j$ for $j \in \{\frac{n+1}{2}, \ldots, n-1\}$. As $\mathrm{ab}(c_1) = \mathrm{ab}(c_2) = 0$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{ab}(x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^i \cdot e_i + \sum_{i=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \cdot e_i & , \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{i+1} \cdot e_i + \sum_{i=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n-1} (-1)^i \cdot e_i & , \text{if } n \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases},$$

and hence we have:

$$\mathrm{ab}(x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \} \frac{n-1}{2} \end{matrix} \quad \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod 4 \quad \text{and } \mathrm{ab}(x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \} \frac{n-1}{2} \end{matrix}$$

if $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Define $\bar{R}^2 \coloneqq \mathrm{ab}(\gamma_{R^2})$ and $\bar{T} \coloneqq \mathrm{ab}(\gamma_T)$. Then, with respect to the basis chosen above,

$$\bar{R}^2 = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \\ \underbrace{}_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \vdots & & & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -1 & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & 1 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \\ \end{array}$$

if $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and

$$\bar{R}^2 = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right. \\ \underbrace{}_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -1 & \vdots & & & & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & 1 & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -1 & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & 1 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & -1 & \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ \\ \end{array}$$

if $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Now we compute $\bar{T} \cdot \mathrm{ab}(x_j) = \mathrm{ab}(\gamma_T(x_j))$ for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, \ldots, n-1\}$ to receive a matrix presentation for $\bar{T}$. For $j \in \{0, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T} \cdot e_{j+1} = \mathrm{ab}(\gamma_T(x_j)) &= \mathrm{ab}(\prod_{i=0}^{j}(x_i {x_{n-1-i}}^{-1}) \cdot x_j \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{j}({x_{n-1-(j-i)}}^{-1} x_{j-i})) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j}(e_{i+1} - e_{n-1-i}) + e_{j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{j}(-e_{n-1-(j-i)} + e_{j-i+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} e_i - \sum_{i=n-1-j}^{n-1} e_i + e_{j+1} - \sum_{i=n-j}^{n-1} e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{j} e_i \\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} e_i - e_{n-j-1} - 2\sum_{i=n-j}^{n-1} e_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}\cdot e_{n-1-j} &= \mathrm{ab}(\gamma_T(x_{n-1-j}))\\
&= \mathrm{ab}(\prod_{i=0}^{j}(x_i {x_{n-1-i}}^{-1})\cdot x_{n-1-j}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{j}({x_{n-1-(j-i)}}^{-1}x_{j-i}))\\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{j}(e_{i+1}-e_{n-1-i})+e_{n-1-j}+\sum_{i=1}^{j}(-e_{n-1-(j-i)}+e_{j-i+1})\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{j+1}e_i-\sum_{n-1-j}^{n-1}e_i+e_{n-1-j}-\sum_{i=n-j}^{n-1}e_i+\sum_{i=1}^{j}e_i\\
&= 2\sum_{i=1}^{j}e_i+e_{j+1}-2\sum_{i=n-j}^{n-1}e_i\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\bar{T}=\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 2 & 1\\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0\\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 2 & 2 & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots\\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots\\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\
\vdots & \iddots & \iddots & -2 & -2 & \ddots & & \vdots\\
0 & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\
\underbrace{-1}_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \underbrace{-2}_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \cdots & -2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}\begin{matrix}\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}a\\a\\a\\a\end{matrix}}\right\}\frac{n-1}{2}\\ \\ \left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}a\\a\\a\\a\end{matrix}}\right\}\frac{n-1}{2}\end{matrix}\,.$$

Now we return to the goal of this section and prove property $(\star)$ for $\bar{X}_{2n}$ in many levels.

Theorem 2. *Let $n\geq 5$ be an odd number and $a\geq 2$. Then the translation surface $\bar{X}_{2n}$ has property $(\star)$ in level a if and only if* $\gcd(a,n)=1$.

Proof. Let $a\geq 2$. If $\gcd(a,n)=1$ then we determine singularities $\hat{s}_1$ and $\hat{s}_2$ in $\bar{Y}_a$ with $p_a(\hat{s}_1)=s_1$ and $p_a(\hat{s}_2)=s_2$ and affine maps $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ on $\bar{Y}_a$ with $\mathrm{der}(\hat{f})=R^2$ and $\mathrm{der}(\hat{g})=T$, such that $\hat{f}(\hat{s}_1)=\hat{s}_2$, $\hat{f}(\hat{s}_2)=\hat{s}_1$, $\hat{g}(\hat{s}_1)=\hat{s}_1$ and $\hat{g}(\hat{s}_2)=\hat{s}_2$. For $\gcd(a,n)>1$ we prove that no such $\hat{f}$ exists.

We start by investigating the affine maps f and g on $\bar{Y}_a$ with $\mathrm{der}(f)=R^2$ and $\mathrm{der}(g)=T$, given by the lifts γ_{R^2} and γ_T in $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ from Section 4.2. Recall that $c_1=x_0{x_1}^{-1}\cdots x_{n-3}{x_{n-2}}^{-1}x_{n-1}$ and $c_2={x_0}^{-1}x_1\cdots{x_{n-3}}^{-1}x_{n-2}{x_{n-1}}^{-1}$ are simple paths around the singularities of $\bar{X}_{2n}$. Obviously $\gamma_{R^2}(c_1)=x_0c_2{x_0}^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{R^2}(c_2)={x_0}^{-1}c_1x_0$. A slightly longer but still easy calculation shows that $\gamma_T(c_1)=c_1$ and $\gamma_T(c_2)=c_2$.

Now we define a second lift of R^2 to $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$:

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}\colon\begin{cases}
F_n & \to & F_n\\
x_i & \mapsto & {x_0}^{-1}x_{i+1}x_0 \quad \text{for } i=0,\ldots,n-2\\
x_{n-1} & \mapsto & x_0^{-1}
\end{cases}.$$

This lift fulfils $\tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(c_1) = c_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(c_2) = {x_0}^{-2} c_1 {x_0}^2$. An arbitrary lift of R^2 to $\mathrm{Aut}_X(F_n)$ is given by

$$\hat{\gamma}_{R^2}(w) = v \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(w) \cdot v^{-1}$$

for $v \in F_n$. The corresponding affine map $\hat{f}$ in $\mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ is uniquely determined by $z \coloneqq m_a(v) \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$. Without loss of generality (the covering $p_a \colon \bar{Y}_a \to \bar{X}$ is normal), we choose $\hat{c}_1 \coloneqq c_1$ and $\hat{s}_1 \in \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ such that $\hat{c}_1$ is freely homotopic to $\hat{s}_1$. This forces $\hat{s}_2 \coloneqq \hat{f}(\hat{s}_1)$ and up to conjugation with an element in $H = \pi_1(Y_a)$ it also forces

$$\hat{c}_2 \coloneqq \hat{\gamma}_{R^2}(\hat{c}_1) = v c_2 v^{-1} .$$

As before, we use $\{\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2\}$ to identify the singularities $\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $\{1,2\} \times (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. By definition $\hat{\gamma}_{R^2}(\hat{c}_1) = \hat{c}_2$ and

$$\hat{\gamma}_{R^2}(\hat{c}_2) = v \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(v c_2 v^{-1}) \cdot v^{-1} = v \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(v) \cdot {x_0}^{-2} \hat{c}_1 {x_0}^2 \cdot \gamma_{R^2}(v^{-1}) \cdot v^{-1} .$$

As $m_a(v \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{R^2}(v) \cdot {x_0}^{-2}) = z + \bar{R}^2 z - 2e_1$, Proposition 3.7 implies that $\hat{f}(1,0) = (2,0)$ and $\hat{f}(2,0) = (1, z + \bar{R}^2 z - 2e_1)$. Hence $\hat{f}$ meets the conditions of property $(\star)$ iff

$$z + \bar{R}^2 z - 2e_1 = 0 .$$

Now let $\gcd(a,n) = 1$ and hence n is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. For $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ we define

$$z \coloneqq \frac{2}{n} \cdot \left(\tfrac{n-1}{2}, \quad -\tfrac{n-3}{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad 2, \quad -1, \quad 1, \quad -2, \quad \ldots, \quad \tfrac{n-3}{2}, \quad -\tfrac{n-1}{2}\right)^\top$$

then

$$z + \bar{R}^2 z = \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ -\frac{n-3}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \vdots & & & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -1 & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & -1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & 1 & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ -\frac{n-3}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 + \frac{n-1}{2} \\ -\frac{n-3}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} - 1 \\ \vdots \\ 2 - 3 + 1 \\ -1 + 2 - 1 \\ 1 - 1 \\ -2 + 1 + 1 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2} - 1 - \frac{n-5}{2} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} + 1 + \frac{n-3}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \cdot e_1 .$$

Analogously one checks for $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and

$$z \coloneqq \frac{2}{n} \cdot \left(\tfrac{n-1}{2}, \quad -\tfrac{n-3}{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad -2, \quad 1, \quad -1, \quad 2, \quad \ldots, \quad \tfrac{n-3}{2}, \quad -\tfrac{n-1}{2}\right)^\top$$

that $z + \bar{R}^2 z = 2 \cdot e_1$.

As $\hat{c}_1 = c_1$, $g(\hat{s}_1) = \hat{s}_1$. Thus there are affine maps on $\bar{Y}_a$, fulfilling the conditions of property $(\star)$ iff g also satisfies $g(\hat{s}_2) = \hat{s}_2$, i.e. iff $g(2,0) = (2,0)$. As

$$\gamma_T(\hat{c}_2) = \gamma_T(v c_2 v^{-1}) = \gamma_T(v) c_2 \gamma_T(v)^{-1} = \gamma_T(v) v^{-1} \hat{c}_2 v \gamma_T(v)^{-1},$$

$g(2,0) = (2,0)$ iff $m_a(\gamma_T(v)v^{-1}) = \bar{T}z - z = 0$.

For $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ we have

$$\bar{T}z = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 2 & 2 & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 0 & 2 & 1 & \iddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 0 & -1 & 0 & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & -2 & -2 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \iddots & \iddots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & -2 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} \\ -\frac{n-3}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \tfrac{2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} n-1+2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(i-i) - \frac{n-1}{2} \\ -(n-3)+2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(i-i) + \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \vdots \\ 4-2+2-2 \\ -2+1 \\ 1 \\ -2+2-2 \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(i-i) \\ -\frac{n-1}{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(i-i) \end{pmatrix} = z$$

and similarly for $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ one checks that $\bar{T}z = z$.

Now let $\gcd(a,n) > 1$. We saw at the beginning of this proof that there is an affine map $\hat{f}$ on $\bar{Y}_a$ with $\operatorname{der}(\hat{f}) = R^2$ and $\hat{s}_1, \hat{s}_2 \in \Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ such that $\hat{f}(\hat{s}_1) = \hat{s}_2$ and $\hat{f}(\hat{s}_2) = \hat{s}_1$ iff there exists a $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ such that $z + \bar{R}^2 z = 2e_1$.

For $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$, this gives the following system of $n-1$ linear equations in $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})^\top$ over $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ (see $\bar{R}^2$ on page 20):

$$\begin{array}{lrcll} \text{Equation } 1: & 2 & = & z_1 - z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - z_{n-1} & \\ \text{Equation } i: & 0 & = & z_{i-1} + z_i + (-1)^i z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \text{Equation } \frac{n-1}{2}: & 0 & = & z_{\frac{n-3}{2}} + 2\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \\ \text{Equation } \frac{n+1}{2}: & 0 & = & z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \\ \text{Equation } i: & 0 & = & (-1)^{i+1} z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{i-1} + z_i & \text{for } i = \frac{n+3}{2}, \ldots, n-1 \end{array}$$

If we add up $(-1)^i$-times the i-th equation for $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $(-1)^{i+1}$-times the i-th equation for $i = \frac{n+1}{2}, \ldots, n-1$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} -2 &= -(z_1 - z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - z_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (-1)^i (z_{i-1} + z_i + (-1)^i z_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) + z_{\frac{n-3}{2}} \\ &\quad + 2\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{\frac{n+1}{2}} + \sum_{\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} ((-1)^{i+1} z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{i-1} + z_i) \\ &= n\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

As n is odd $\gcd(a, n) > 1$ implies that $b \coloneqq \gcd(a, n) > 2$. So $-2 = n\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ implies $-2\frac{a}{b} = n\frac{a}{b}\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = a\frac{n}{b}\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv 0 \mod a$. But $-2\frac{a}{b} \not\equiv 0 \mod a$ as $b > 2$. Hence there is no solution to $z + \bar{R}^2 z = 2e_1$ if $\gcd(a, n) > 1$.

For $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$, we get a very similar system of $n-1$ linear equations in $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})^\top$ over $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ (see $\bar{R}^2$ on page 20):

$$\begin{array}{lrcll} \text{Equation } 1: & 2 & = & z_1 + z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - z_{n-1} & \\ \text{Equation } i: & 0 & = & z_{i-1} + z_i + (-1)^{i+1} z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, \frac{n-3}{2} \\ \text{Equation } \frac{n-1}{2}: & 0 & = & z_{\frac{n-3}{2}} + 2\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} & \\ \text{Equation } \frac{n+1}{2}: & 0 & = & z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \\ \text{Equation } i: & 0 & = & (-1)^i z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{i-1} + z_i & \text{for } i = \frac{n+3}{2}, \ldots, n-1 \end{array}$$

Here we add up $(-1)^{i+1}$-times the i-th equation for $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $(-1)^i$-times the i-th equation for $i = \frac{n+1}{2}, \ldots, n-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= z_1 + z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - z_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (-1)^{i+1} (z_{i-1} + z_i + (-1)^{i+1} z_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) + z_{\frac{n-3}{2}} + 2\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ &\quad + z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{\frac{n+1}{2}} + \sum_{\frac{n+3}{2}}^{n-1} (-1)^i ((-1)^i z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + z_{i-1} + z_i) \\ &= n\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

As above, this implies $2\frac{a}{b} = a\frac{n}{b}\, z_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \equiv 0 \mod a$. But $2\frac{a}{b} \not\equiv 0 \mod a$ as $b > 2$.

Thus there is no $f \in \mathrm{Aff}^+(\bar{Y}_a)$ with $\mathrm{der}(f) = R^2$ such that f fixes a subset of $\Sigma(\bar{Y}_a)$ of cardinality 2. It follows that $\bar{X}_{2n}$ does not have property $(\star)$ in level a if $\gcd(a, n) > 1$. □

5 Congruence levels

Theorem 1 is a strong motivation to investigate congruence groups. We want to determine the congruence levels of a congruence group and we would like to know how its various congruence levels are related. It is however very difficult for a given finite index subgroup to decide whether it is a congruence group and if so for which congruence levels. For finite index subgroups Γ of the Veech group of the regular double-n-gons $\bar{X}_n$ for odd $n \geq 5$, we show how one can

restrict the candidates for the potential congruence levels of Γ. We additionally give a sufficient condition for $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ to be not a congruence group and an example of a non-congruence group in $\Gamma(X_5)$.

A first general observation on different levels of a congruence group follows easily by Remark 4.2:

Let $\bar{X}$ be a primitive translation surface, and $a, k \geq 1$. Then

$$\Gamma(ka) \subseteq \Gamma(a) \subseteq \Gamma(X)\,.$$

This immediately implies that every congruence group of level a in $\Gamma(X)$ is also a congruence group of level $k \cdot a$ for every $k \geq 1$. Thus every congruence group has multiple congruence levels.

Definition 5.1. If $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X)$ is a congruence group of level a, then a is called *minimal congruence level* of Γ, if Γ is not a congruence group of a level b with $b \mid a$.

Note that if $\bar{X}$ is the once-punctured torus then $\Gamma(X) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and every congruence group has a unique minimal congruence level, whereas this is not clear for congruence groups in the Veech group of other primitive translation surfaces.

A second consequence of Remark 4.2 and the Chinese Remainder Theorem is that for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ we have

$$\Gamma(a) \cap \Gamma(b) = \Gamma(ab)\,.$$

5.1 Parabolic elements in $\Gamma(X_n)$

Let $n \geq 5$ be odd. We investigate the regular double-n-gon, $\bar{X}_n$, as introduced in Section 4.1. In the following section we generalise the Wohlfahrt level to subgroups of $\Gamma(X_n)$. This level definition depends on the parabolic elements in the Veech group. Thus the goal of this section is to find the parabolic elements in the principal congruence groups $\Gamma(a) \subseteq \Gamma(X_n)$.



Figure 4: Core curves of the horizontal cylinders in $\bar{X}_7$.

Recall that the regular double-n-gon $\bar{X}_n$ for odd $n \geq 5$ decomposes into horizontal cylinders and that the parabolic generator T of the Veech group of $\bar{X}_n$ is the

parabolic element associated to this cylinder decomposition. So T is a single Dehn twist on every horizontal cylinder.

As shown in Figure 4, we arrange the two regular n-gons of X_n such that they both have a horizontal edge. The right one lies above its horizontal edge and the left one lies below its horizontal edge. We number the cylinders in the right polygon from the bottom up with 1 to $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

The fundamental group of X_n is free of rank $n-1$. We amend the core curves of the horizontal cylinders to a basis of the fundamental group. The core curve of cylinder i is called x_i (see Figure 4). Generator $x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ is a simple path from the bottom of cylinder 1 in the right polygon to the top of cylinder 1 in the left polygon. For $i \in \{2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$ the simple closed curve $x_{\frac{n-1}{2}+i}$ passes from cylinder $i-1$ to cylinder i inside the right polygon, travels to the left inside cylinder i into the left polygon, goes up into cylinder $i-1$ and finally travels to the left back into the right polygon inside cylinder $i-1$ (see Figure 5). Of course the core curves as well as the curves that connect neighbouring cylinders have to be deformed such that each curve passes through the (arbitrary chosen) base point of $\pi_1(X_n)$. To make the Figures 4 and 5 clearer, the base point of the fundamental group and the parts of the curves to the base point and back are omitted.



Figure 5: Curves connecting neighbouring cylinders in $\bar{X}_7$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $a \geq 2$ and let $\Gamma(a)$ be the principal congruence group of level a in $\Gamma(X_n)$. For $b \geq 1$:*

$$T^b \in \Gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow a \mid b$$

Proof. At first we want to understand, what T^b does to an arbitrary element v in the fundamental group: each time v crosses the cylinder i from bottom to top, Tv follows the core curve once around the waist of the cylinder, i.e. x_i is inserted into v. If v crosses cylinder i from top to bottom, ${x_i}^{-1}$ is inserted at this particular position (see Figure 6). As T leaves x_i invariant, the application of T^b inserts ${x_i}^b$ into v at each position where T attaches x_i. In the same way T^b inserts ${x_i}^{-b}$ into v each time v passes through the i-th cylinder from top to bottom.



Figure 6: Application of T to v.

Next we decompose $T^b v$ into elements of the fundamental group (see Figure 7). Therefore we follow v until we reach the first insertion of a ${x_i}^{\pm b}$, then follow ${x_i}^{\pm b}$ and afterwards walk back to the base point along v^{-1}. This is a closed path, i.e. an element in the fundamental group of X_n. Next we follow v until the second insertion of a ${x_i}^{\pm b}$, walk along ${x_i}^{\pm b}$ and back to the base point along v^{-1}. We continue until there is no further insertion of a ${x_i}^{\pm b}$ and finally follow v entirely. Up to homotopy, i.e. the walking along v back and forth, we walked exactly once along $T^b v$. This proves that by using parts of v to extend the x_i to closed paths starting at the base point of the fundamental group, we can decompose $T^b v$ as $T^b v = \prod_{j=1}^{k} (v_j x_{i_j} {v_j}^{-1})^{\pm b} \cdot v$ into elements of $\pi_1(X)$, where $i_j \in \{1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the v_j are sub-paths of v.



Figure 7: Decomposition of $T \cdot v$.

Let $\tilde{w}$ denote the image of $w \in \pi_1(X_n)$ in the absolute homology $H_1(\bar{X}_n, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$T^b \tilde{v} = b \cdot \tilde{h} + \tilde{v} \in H_1(\bar{X}_n, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$$

where $h = \prod_{j=1}^{k} v_j {x_{i_j}}^{\pm 1} {v_j}^{-1}$. Now it is obvious that $a \mid b$ is a sufficient condition for T^b to act trivially on the homology.

It remains to show that $T^b \in \Gamma(a)$ implies that a divides b.

The generator $x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ crosses the first cylinder once from the bottom to the top and crosses none of the other cylinders. The image of $x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ under T^b is $x_{\frac{n+1}{2}} {x_1}^b$. Thus $T^b \cdot \tilde{x}_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \tilde{x}_{\frac{n+1}{2}} + b \cdot \tilde{x}_1$. As $\bar{X}_n$ has exactly one singular point, the

generators of $\pi_1(X_n)$ form a basis $\{\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n-1}\}$ of the homology of the closed surface $H_1(\bar{X}_n, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, if T^b acts trivially on $H_1(\bar{X}_n, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})$, then $b \cdot \tilde{x}_1 \equiv 0 \mod a$. Hence $b \equiv 0 \mod a$. □

In [Fin13] Proposition 4.4 the result of Proposition 5.2 is generalised to suitable parabolic elements with positive trace in the Veech group of more general primitive translation surfaces.

Remark 5.3. Every parabolic element in $\Gamma(X_n)$ with positive trace is conjugated to a power of T. Furthermore, $\Gamma(a)$ is normal for every a. Thus we know for every parabolic element in $\Gamma(X_n)$ with positive trace, whether it is contained in $\Gamma(a)$ or not.

Remark 5.4. If we forget about the translation structure on the surface and consider only its topology, then the affine maps can be seen as elements in the mapping class group of the surface. Corollary 1.5 in [Iva92] tells us that $\Gamma(a) \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ is torsion free for $a \geq 3$. Originally this result is due to Serre (see [Ser60]). Consequently, $\Gamma(a)$ contains no elliptic elements for $a \geq 3$.

Remark 5.4 implies that $-I \notin \Gamma(a)$ for $a \geq 3$. Thus if $T^k \in \Gamma(a)$ then $-T^k \notin \Gamma(a)$ for $a \geq 3$.
The knowledge about the parabolic elements in $\Gamma(a)$ is particularly useful if the Veech group of a primitive translation surface is generated by parabolic matrices. This is the case for the surfaces $\bar{X}_n$ with n odd and $n \geq 5$:

Lemma 5.5. *For odd $n \geq 5$,*

$$\Gamma(X_n) = \langle T, R^{-1}TR \rangle .$$

Thus $\Gamma(X_n)$ is generated by parabolic elements.

Proof. Recall that a presentation for $\Gamma(X_n)$ is given by

$$\Gamma(X_n) = \langle R, T \mid R^{2n} = I, (T^{-1}R)^2 = R^n, R^nT = TR^n \rangle .$$

Obviously $G' \coloneqq \langle T, R^{-1}TR \rangle \subseteq \Gamma(X_n)$. It remains to show that $R \in G'$.
As

$$\begin{aligned}(T^{-1}R)^2 = R^n \Rightarrow R^{-1}TR^{-1}T = R^{-n} &\overset{R^{2n}=I}{=} R^n \\ \Rightarrow R^{-1}TR = R^nT^{-1}R^2 &\overset{R^nT=TR^n}{=} T^{-1}R^{n+2},\end{aligned}$$

we get from $T^{-1} \in G'$ and $R^{-1}TR \in G'$ that $R^{n+2} \in G'$. This implies that $(R^{n+2})^2 = R^{2n+4} = R^4 \in G'$ and hence $R^{\gcd(2n,4)} \overset{n \text{ odd}}{=} R^2 \in G'$. Then

$$R^n = T^{-1}RT^{-1}R = T^{-1} \cdot R^2 \cdot (R^{-1}TR)^{-1} \in G'$$

further implies that $R^{\gcd(n,2)} = R \in G'$. □

5.2 Wohlfahrt level

It is a result due to Wohlfahrt (see [Woh64]) that the *Wohlfahrt level* and the minimal congruence level of every congruence group in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ coincide. For a finite index subgroup Γ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the Wohlfahrt level is the least common multiple of the cusp widths of the subgroup projected to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. A cusp corresponds to a conjugacy class of a maximal parabolic subgroup of Γ. Its width is the index of this subgroup in the ambient maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus the Wohlfahrt level of Γ is completely determined by the parabolic elements in Γ.

Wohlfahrt's definition relies on the fact that a fundamental domain of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has exactly one cusp. Now consider $\bar{X}_n$, with $n \geq 5$ odd. The projection of its Veech group to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the orientation preserving part of a Hecke triangle group whose fundamental domain in $\mathbb{H}$ has exactly one cusp. As $-I_2 \in \Gamma(X_n)$, the projective Veech group is a proper factor group of $\Gamma(X_n)$. The parabolic generator $T \in \Gamma(X_n)$ is maximal parabolic, i.e. it generates a maximal parabolic subgroup. Thus every parabolic element $A \in \Gamma(X_n)$ can be written as $\pm S^{-1}T^mS$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S \in \Gamma(X_n)$.

In the previous section we learned that $-I_2 \notin \Gamma(a)$ for $a \geq 3$ and that $T^b \in \Gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow a \mid b$, thus it makes sense to use the parabolic elements with positive trace to generalise Wohlfahrt's definition.

Definition 5.6. Let $A = S^{-1}T^mS$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S \in \Gamma(X_n)$ be a parabolic element in $\Gamma(X_n)$ with positive trace. We call $|m|$ the *width* of A. For a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$, we define the *(generalised) Wohlfahrt level* of Γ as

$$\mathrm{level}(\Gamma) \coloneqq \mathrm{lcm}\{\mathrm{width}(A) \mid A \in \Gamma, A \text{ is maximal parabolic in } \Gamma\}.$$

As Γ has finite index in $\Gamma(X_n)$, it contains only finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups. Thus $\mathrm{level}(\Gamma)$ is well-defined.

Next we see that in analogy to the Wohlfahrt level in [Woh64], the (generalised) Wohlfahrt level of a group Γ is densely interwoven with the normal subgroups $G(m) \coloneqq \langle\langle T^m \rangle\rangle$ for $m \geq 1$, contained in Γ. In fact, the Wohlfahrt level of a finite index subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ is the smallest $m \geq 1$ such that $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ be a finite index subgroup with Wohlfahrt level m. Then $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$. If conversely $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$ then* $\mathrm{level}(\Gamma) \mid m$.

Proof. Let $[\Gamma(X_n) : \Gamma] = d$. Then for each $A \in \Gamma(X_n)$ there exists a $0 < k \leq d$ such that $A^k \in \Gamma$. We have to show that $S^{-1}T^mS$ belongs to Γ for every $S \in \Gamma(X_n)$.

Let $A \coloneqq S^{-1}TS$ and define $l \coloneqq \min\{k > 0 \mid A^k = S^{-1}T^kS \in \Gamma\}$. By the definition of the Wohlfahrt level, $l \mid m$ and therefore $S^{-1}T^mS \in \Gamma$. We conclude that $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$.

Now we want to prove that $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$ implies that $\mathrm{level}(\Gamma) \mid m$. So let $S^{-1}T^kS \in \Gamma$ be maximal parabolic with $S \in \Gamma(X_n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We need to show that k divides m.

As $G(m) \subseteq \Gamma$, we have $S^{-1}T^mS \in \Gamma$. This implies $S^{-1}T^{\gcd(m,k)}S \in \Gamma$ and as $S^{-1}T^kS$ is maximal parabolic in Γ, we get that $\gcd(m,k) \geq |k|$, so $\gcd(m,k) = |k|$. □

A simple observation regarding the groups $G(m)$ is the following lemma:

Lemma 5.8. *For $m, m' \geq 1$ the product of the groups $G(m)$ and $G(m')$ is*

$$G(m) \cdot G(m') = \langle G(m), G(m') \rangle = G(\gcd(m, m')) .$$

Proof. As $\gcd(m, m')$ divides m, $G(m) \subseteq G(\gcd(m, m'))$, and analogously $G(m') \subseteq G(\gcd(m, m'))$. The groups $G(m)$ and $G(m')$ are normal, thus $\langle G(m), G(m') \rangle = G(m) \cdot G(m')$. Furthermore, $T^m \in G(m)$ and $T^{m'} \in G(m')$, thus the element $T^{\gcd(m,m')}$ lies in $\langle G(m), G(m') \rangle = G(m) \cdot G(m')$. As $G(m) \cdot G(m')$ is normal, this implies that $G(\gcd(m, m')) \subseteq G(m) \cdot G(m')$. □

5.3 Comparison of the two level definitions

Unfortunately the generalised Wohlfahrt level of a congruence group in $\Gamma(X_n)$ does not determine its congruence levels completely (see Example 5.10). The following theorem makes the correlation between the two level definitions precise:

Theorem 3. *Let $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$ be a congruence group, b a minimal congruence level of Γ and $a = \text{level}(\Gamma)$ its Wohlfahrt level. Then $a \mid b$ and all prime numbers p dividing b also divide a. However, a minimal congruence level of Γ does not have to divide the Wohlfahrt level.*

We prove Theorem 3, with the help of the following lemma. Recall that $\bar{\varphi}_a \colon \Gamma(X_n) \to \text{Aut}((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g})$ describes the action of $\Gamma(X_n) \cong \text{Aff}^+(\bar{X}_n)$ on $H_1(\bar{X}_n, \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ and that $\Gamma(a) = \ker(\bar{\varphi}_a)$.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then*

a) $\bar{\varphi}_b(G(a)) = \bar{\varphi}_b(\Gamma(X_n))$ and

b) $G(a) \cdot \Gamma(ab) = \Gamma(a)$.

Proof. a) We have $\Gamma(X_n) \overset{\text{Lemma 5.5}}{=} G(1) = G(\gcd(a, b)) \overset{\text{Lemma 5.8}}{=} G(a) \cdot G(b)$. The normal subgroup $\Gamma(b)$ contains T^b thus $G(b) \subseteq \Gamma(b)$. Thus $\bar{\varphi}_b(\Gamma(X_n)) = \bar{\varphi}_b(G(a) \cdot G(b)) = \bar{\varphi}_b(G(a))$, as $G(b) \subseteq \Gamma(b) = \ker(\bar{\varphi}_b)$.

b) The subgroups $G(a)$ and $\Gamma(ab)$ are normal in $\Gamma(X_n)$. Thus $G(a) \cdot \Gamma(ab)$ is a group and in particular normal in $\Gamma(X_n)$. As $G(a) \subseteq \Gamma(a)$ and also $\Gamma(ab) \subseteq \Gamma(a)$, we get $G(a) \cdot \Gamma(ab) \subseteq \Gamma(a)$.

For the converse inclusion let $A \in \Gamma(a)$. Because of part a) we know that $\bar{\varphi}_b(A) \in \bar{\varphi}_b(\Gamma(X_n)) = \bar{\varphi}_b(G(a))$. Thus there exists $B \in G(a)$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_b(B) = \bar{\varphi}_b(A)$. Consequently A can be written as $A = B \cdot K$, where $K \in \Gamma(b) = \ker(\bar{\varphi}_b)$. As $B \in G(a) \subseteq \Gamma(a)$ and $A \in \Gamma(a)$, also $K \in \Gamma(a)$. Hence $K \in \Gamma(a) \cap \Gamma(b)$. But $\Gamma(a) \cap \Gamma(b) = \Gamma(ab)$. This completes the proof. □

Now we can prove that every minimal congruence level of a congruence subgroup in $\Gamma(X_n)$ has only prime divisors that also divide the Wohlfahrt level. This implies in particular that if the Wohlfahrt level is a prime power, then the minimal congruence level is unique.

Proof of Theorem 3. Being a congruence group of level b is equivalent to containing $\Gamma(b)$. From $G(b) \subseteq \Gamma(b) \subseteq \Gamma$ and Proposition 5.7 it follows that $a = \text{level}(\Gamma) \mid b$.

Suppose that $b = c \cdot d$ with $\gcd(a, d) = 1$ and $\gcd(c, d) = 1$. Then by Lemma 5.9 $\Gamma(c) = G(c) \cdot \Gamma(cd) = G(c) \cdot \Gamma(b)$. As $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, c) \mid c$, it follows that $G(c) \subseteq G(\gcd(a, b))$. Furthermore, $G(a) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $G(b) \subseteq \Gamma(b) \subseteq \Gamma$. Thus by Lemma 5.8 $G(a) \cdot G(b) = G(\gcd(a, b)) \subseteq \Gamma$. Hence $\Gamma(c) = G(c) \cdot \Gamma(b) \subseteq \Gamma$ and Γ is a congruence group of level c. As $c \mid b$ and b was a minimal congruence level, $d = 1$.

An example which proves that the Wohlfahrt level and the minimal congruence levels can be different follows in Example 5.10. □

Example 5.10. The subgroup $U \coloneqq \langle\langle T^4, \Gamma(8) \rangle\rangle \leq \Gamma(X_5)$ has minimal congruence level 8 and Wohlfahrt level 4.

As $\langle\langle T^4 \rangle\rangle \subseteq U$, Proposition 5.7 implies that the Wohlfahrt level of U divides $4 = 2^2$. Theorem 3 implies that U has a unique minimal congruence level and that this minimal congruence level is a power of 2. By construction $\Gamma(8) \subseteq U$, thus the minimal congruence level is at most 8.

In [Fin13] we give an element $C \in \Gamma(4)$ with $C \notin U$. Hence $\Gamma(4) \not\subseteq U$ thus U has minimal congruence level 8. Alternatively one can verify using a computer algebra system, e.g. magma, that $\bar{\varphi}_8(U) = \bar{\varphi}_8(\langle\langle T^4 \rangle\rangle)$ is a finite group with 32 elements whereas $\bar{\varphi}_8(\Gamma(4))$ has 64 elements. In [Fin13] we also prove that $U \leq \Gamma(X_5)$ is a minimal example with respect to the congruence level for a congruence group in $\Gamma(X_n)$ that has different minimal congruence level and Wohlfahrt level.

Remark 5.11. In [Fin13] the Wohlfahrt level is defined more generally for subgroups Γ of $\Gamma(X) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ for appropriate primitive translation surfaces $\bar{X}$. The projective Veech group of those surfaces needs to be a Fuchsian group with one cusp. Its Veech group has to contain a parabolic matrix T with positive trace such that $T^b \in \Gamma(a) \Leftrightarrow a \mid b$ and such that T and its conjugates generate $\Gamma(X)$. Then Theorem 3 can be proven in complete analogy.

5.4 A non-congruence group

In [WS13] Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen introduces the notion of totally non-congruence groups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In Theorem 2 she gives a sufficient condition for a finite index subgroup in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to be a totally non-congruence group. The next Theorem is a straight forward generalisation of this criterion. Its proof relies in particular on Lemma 5.9 a) and thereby on the groups leading to the strong relation between the Wohlfahrt level and the potential congruence levels of a group.

Theorem 4. *Let $\Gamma \neq \Gamma(X_n)$ be a finite index subgroup in $\Gamma(X_n)$ with Wohlfahrt level l. If $l = a \cdot b$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and if Γ contains two pairs of parabolic elements with positive trace*

$$(A^{-1}TA)^{m_1}, ((RA)^{-1}TRA)^{m_2} \text{ and } (B^{-1}TB)^{m_1'}, ((RB)^{-1}TRB)^{m_2'}$$

with $A, B \in \Gamma(X_n)$ such that $\mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_2) \mid a$ and $\mathrm{lcm}(m_1', m_2') \mid b$ then Γ is not a congruence group in $\Gamma(X_n)$.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that Γ is a congruence group with minimal congruence level c. Then we know from Theorem 3 that $l \mid c$ and that c can be written as $c = a' \cdot b'$, where a' has the same prime divisors as a and b' has the same prime divisors as b. Thus $\gcd(a', b') = 1$.

Our first claim is that the map

$$f\colon \left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma(X_n)) & \to & \bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\Gamma(X_n)) & \times & \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\Gamma(X_n)) \\ \bar{\varphi}_c(A) & \mapsto & (\,\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(A) & , & \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(A)\,) \end{array} \right.$$

is a group isomorphism. As $\ker(\bar{\varphi}_c) = \Gamma(c) \subseteq \Gamma(a') = \ker(\bar{\varphi}_{a'})$ and $\Gamma(c) \subseteq \Gamma(b')$, the map is a well defined group homomorphism. It is injective, because it is the restriction of the canonical group isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/a'\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}/b'\mathbb{Z})$ to $\bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma(X_n))$. Thus it remains to prove the f is surjective. So let $A \in \bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\Gamma(X_n))$ and $B \in \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\Gamma(X_n))$. As $\gcd(a', b') = 1$ Lemma 5.9 a) implies $\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(G(b')) = \bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\Gamma(X_n))$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{b'}(G(a')) = \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\Gamma(X_n))$. Thus there exists $\tilde{A} \in G(b')$ and $\tilde{B} \in G(a')$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\tilde{A}) = A$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\tilde{B}) = B$. We know that for all $q \geq 2 : G(q) \subseteq \Gamma(q)$. It follows that $\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = \bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\tilde{A}) = A$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\tilde{B}) = B$. We deduce $f(\bar{\varphi}_c(\tilde{A}\tilde{B})) = (A, B)$.

As $\gcd(a', b') = 1$, there exist $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $la' + kb' = 1$. Because of $\mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_2) \mid a$ and $a \mid a'$ there exist $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m_1 h_1 = m_2 h_2 = a'$. We know that $(A^{-1}TA)^{m_1}, ((RA)^{-1}TRA)^{m_2} \in \Gamma$ thus $(A^{-1}TA)^{m_1 h_1 l} \in \Gamma$ and $((RA)^{-1}TRA)^{m_2 h_2 l} \in \Gamma$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}_c((A^{-1}TA)^{m_1 h_1 l}) &= (\,\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\,(A^{-1}TA)^{la'}\,)\,,\, \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\,(A^{-1}TA)^{la'}\,)\,) \\ &= (\,I\,,\, \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\,(A^{-1}TA)^{la'} \cdot (A^{-1}TA)^{kb'}\,)\,) \\ &= (\,I\,,\, \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(A^{-1}TA)\,) \in \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

and analogously $\bar{\varphi}_c(((RA)^{-1}TRA)^{m_2 h_2 l}) = (\,I\,,\, \bar{\varphi}_{b'}((RA)^{-1}TRA)\,) \in \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma)$. Lemma 5.5 states that T and $R^{-1}TR$ generate $\Gamma(X_n)$. Thus also $A^{-1}TA$ and $(RA)^{-1}TRA$ generate $\Gamma(X_n)$. This implies that

$$\{I\} \times \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\Gamma(X_n)) \subseteq \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma)\,.$$

Using the same arguments on $(B^{-1}TB)^{m_1' h_1' k}$ and $((RB)^{-1}TRB)^{m_2' h_2' k}$ where h_1', h_2' are chosen such that $m_1' h_1' = m_2' h_2' = b'$ we obtain

$$\bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\Gamma(X_n)) \times \{I\} \subseteq \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma)\,.$$

Altogether this proves $\bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma(X_n)) = \bar{\varphi}_{a'}(\Gamma(X_n)) \times \bar{\varphi}_{b'}(\Gamma(X_n)) = \bar{\varphi}_c(\Gamma)$. But as c was supposed to be a congruence level of Γ, this implies $\Gamma = \Gamma(X_n)$ and thereby contradicts our assumption. □

In the end we give an example for a subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_5)$ that fulfils the requirements of Theorem 4 and is thereby an example of a non-congruence group.

Example 5.12. Figure 8 shows the left coset graph of the subgroup

$$\Gamma = \langle T, R^{-1}TR^{-1}, RT^2R, R^2TRTR, R^{-2}T^{-2}RTR^2, R^{-2}T^2RTR^2 \rangle \leq \Gamma(X_5)\,,$$

i.e. a graph whose vertices are the left cosets of $\Gamma(X_5)/\Gamma$, solid edges $A\cdot\Gamma \to TA\cdot\Gamma$ and dashed edges $A \cdot \Gamma \to RA \cdot \Gamma$. The coset $I \cdot \Gamma$ carries the label 1.



Figure 8: Coset graph of a non-congruence subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Gamma(X_n)$.

A presentation of $\Gamma(X_5)$ is $\langle R, T \mid R^{10} = I, (T^{-1}R)^2 = R^5, R^5T = TR^5 \rangle$. It is easy to check that R^5 and $(T^{-1}R)^2$ describe a closed path at each vertex of the coset graph. Consequently the defining relations of $\Gamma(X_5)$ are fulfilled and Figure 8 shows indeed the coset graph of a subgroup of $\Gamma(X_5)$. From the coset graph one easily reads off that T, $R^{-1}T^3R \in \Gamma$ as well as $R^{-2}T^4R^2$, $R^{-3}T^2R^3 \in \Gamma$. Hence we can apply Theorem 4 with $A = I$, $B = R^2$, $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 3$, $m_1' = 4$ and $m_2' = 2$. We conclude that Γ is an example for a subgroup of $\Gamma(X_n)$ that is not a congruence group.

References

[EG97] Clifford J. Earle and Frederick P. Gardiner. "Teichmüller disks and Veech's $\mathcal{F}$-structures". In: *Extremal Riemann surfaces (San Francisco, CA, 1995)*. Vol. 201. Contemp. Math. American Mathematical Society, 1997, pp. 165–189.

[EKS84] Allan L. Edmonds, Ravi S. Kulkarni, and Robert E. Stong. "Realizability of branched coverings of surfaces". In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 282.2 (1984), pp. 773–790.

[Fin11] Myriam Finster. "A series of coverings of the regular n-gon". In: *Geometriae Dedicata* 155 (2011), pp. 191–214.

[Fin13] Myriam Finster. "Veech Groups and Translation Coverings". PhD thesis. Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), 2013. URL: `http://digbib.ubka.uni-karlsruhe.de/volltexte/1000036365`.

[FK36] Ralph H. Fox and Richard B. Kershner. "Concerning the transitive properties of geodesics on a rational polyhedron". In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 2.1 (1936), pp. 147–150.

[FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*. Vol. 49. Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, 2012.

[Fre08] Myriam Freidinger. "Stabilisatorgruppen in Aut(F_z) und Veechgruppen von Überlagerungen". diploma thesis. Universität Karlsruhe (TH), 2008.

[GJ00] E. Gutkin and C. Judge. "Affine mappings of translation surfaces: Geometry and arithmetic". In: *Duke Math. J.* 103.2 (2000), pp. 191–213.

[HS01] Pascal Hubert and Thomas A. Schmidt. "Invariants of translation surfaces". In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 51.2 (2001), pp. 461–495.

[Iva92] Nikolai V. Ivanov. *Subgroups of Teichmüller modular groups*. Vol. 115. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1992.

[LS77] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial Group Theory*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[Mir95] Rick Miranda. *Algebraic curves and Riemann surfaces*. Vol. 5. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 1995.

[Möl06] Martin Möller. "Periodic points on Veech surfaces and the Mordell-Weil group over a Teichmüller curve". In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 165.3 (2006), pp. 633–649.

[Sch05] Gabriela Schmithüsen. "Veech Groups of Origamis". PhD thesis. Universität Karlsruhe (TH), 2005.

[Sch08] Gabriela Schmithüsen. *Construction of primitive Teichmüller surfaces*. Preprint. 2008.

[Ser60] Jean-Pierre Serre. "Rigidité du foncteur de Jacobi d'échelon $n \geq 3$". In: *Séminaire Henri Cartan* 13.2 Exposé 17 (Appendice) (1960-61). Appendix to Alexander Grothendieck "Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique. X. Construction de l'espace de Teichmüller".

[Vee89] William A. Veech. "Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards". In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 97.3 (1989), pp. 553–583.

[Vor96] Yaroslav B. Vorobets. "Planar structures and billiards in rational polygons: the Veech alternative". In: *Russian Math. Surv.* 51 (1996), pp. 779–817.

[Woh64] Klaus Wohlfahrt. "An extension of F. Klein's level concept". In: *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* 8 (1964), pp. 529–535.

[WS13] Gabriela Weitze-Schmithüsen. "The Deficiency of being a Congruence Group for Veech Groups of Origamis". In: *Int Math Res Notices* (2013). DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnt268.

[ZK75] Aleksandr N. Zemlyakov and Anatole B. Katok. "Topological transitivity of billiards in polygons". In: *Math. Notes* 18 (1975), pp. 760–764.

[Zor06] Anton Zorich. "Flat Surfaces". In: *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry. I*. Berlin: Springer, 2006, pp. 437–583.